

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

Bloom v. District Court (TGC/Farkas Funding, LLC)

Bloom · No. 84704 · Decided October 11, 2022

SUMMARY

The Supreme Court of Nevada considered Jay Bloom’s petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition concerning an order of the Eighth Judicial District Court in Clark County. On October 11, 2022, the court denied the petition.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Nevada
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Mark Gibbons, Senior Justice
JURISDICTION	Nevada
DECIDED	October 11, 2022
DOCKET	84704
DISPOSITION	writ_denied
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Original proceeding in which Jay Bloom petitioned for a writ of mandamus or prohibition directing the Eighth Judicial District Court to vacate an order finding him to be a non-party.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unknown
PARTIES	Jay Bloom v. TGC/Farkas Funding, LLC

TOPICS

writ of certiorari appellate procedure civil procedure remedies commercial litigation

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure appellate procedure remedies commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the Supreme Court of Nevada should issue a writ of mandamus or prohibition directing the district court to vacate its order finding Jay Bloom to be a non-party.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The available docket text indicates that Bloom challenged a district-court order finding him to be a non-party. The record supplied does not include the underlying opinion or factual analysis.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Bloom filed a petition for a writ of mandamus or prohibition in the Supreme Court of Nevada challenging an order of the Eighth Judicial District Court in Clark County. The real party in interest filed an answer, and Bloom filed a reply. On October 11, 2022, the Supreme Court of Nevada denied the petition.

DISPOSITION

writ_denied

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

84704: Case View Nevada Appellate Courts Appellate Case Management System C-Track, the browser based CMS for Appellate Courts Case Search Participant Search Cases Case Search Participant Search 22-32032: This document is currently unavailable. If you need a copy of this document, please contact Clerk's Office at (775)684-1600. Disclaimer: The information and documents available here should not be relied upon as an official record of action.Only filed documents can be viewed.

Some documents received in a case may not be available for viewing.Some documents originating from a lower court, including records and appendices, may not be available for viewing.For official records, please contact the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Nevada at (775) 684-1600. Case Information: 84704 Short Caption:BLOOM VS. DIST. CT. (TGC/FARKAS FUNDING, LLC)Court:Supreme Court Related Case(s):82794, 83177 Lower Court Case(s):Clark Co. - Eighth Judicial District - A822273Classification:Original Proceeding - Civil - Mandamus/Prohibition Disqualifications:Case Status:Disposition Filed Replacement:Panel Assigned: Panel To SP/Judge:SP Status: Oral Argument:Oral Argument Location: Submission Date:How Submitted: + Party Information RoleParty NameRepresented By PetitionerJay BloomDanielle J. Barraza (Maier Gutierrez & Associates) Joseph A. Gutierrez (Maier Gutierrez & Associates) Jason R. Maier (Maier Gutierrez & Associates) Real Party in InterestTGC/Farkas Funding, LLC Dylan T. Ciciliano (Garman Turner Gordon) Erika A. Pike Turner (Garman Turner Gordon) RespondentMark R. Denton RespondentThe Eighth Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada, in and for the County of Clark + Due Items Due DateStatusDue ItemDue From 11/07/2022OpenRemittitur Docket Entries DateTypeDescriptionPending?Document 05/16/2022Filing FeeFiling fee paid.

E-Payment \$250.00 from Danielle J. Barraza. (SC) 05/16/2022Petition/WritFiled Petition for Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition Directing the Eighth Judicial District Court Clark County, Nevada,

Honorable Mark R. Denton, District Judge, to Vacate (1) and Order Finding Non-Party Jay Bloom. (SC)22-15358 05/16/2022AppendixFiled Appendix to Petition for Writ - Volume 1.

(SC)22-15361 05/16/2022AppendixFiled Appendix to Petition for Writ - Volume 2. (SC)22-15362 05/16/2022AppendixFiled Appendix to Petition for Writ - Volume 3. (SC)22-15363 05/16/2022AppendixFiled Appendix to Petition for Writ - Volume 4. (SC)22-15364 05/16/2022AppendixFiled Petition for Writ Supplement to Appellants' Appendix Volume 1. (SC)22-15385 05/16/2022AppendixFiled Petition for Writ Supplement to Appellants' Appendix Volume 2.

(SC)22-15386 06/15/2022Order/ProceduralFiled Order Directing Answer. Real party in interest shall have 28 days from the date of this order within which to file and serve an answer against issuance of the requested writ. Petitioner shall have 14 days from service of the answer to file and serve any reply. (SC)22-18975 07/11/2022Petition/WritFiled Real Party in Interest's Answer to Petition for Writ.

(REJECTED PER NOTICE FILED ON 7/11/22) (SC) 07/11/2022AppendixFiled Real Party in Interest's Appendix to Answer to Writ Petition. Vol. 1. (SC)22-21749 07/11/2022AppendixFiled Real Party in Interest's Appendix to Answer to Writ Petition. Vol. 2. (SC)22-21751 07/11/2022AppendixFiled Real Party in Interest's Appendix to Answer to Writ Petition. Vol. 3. (SC)22-21752 07/11/2022AppendixFiled Real Party in Interest's Appendix to Answer to Writ Petition.

Vol. 4. (SC)22-21753 07/11/2022AppendixFiled Real Party in Interest's Appendix to Answer to Writ Petition. Vol. 5. (SC)22-21755 07/11/2022Notice/OutgoingIssued Notice of Rejection of Deficient Answer to Petition. Due date: 5 days. (SC)22-21760 07/12/2022Petition/WritFiled Real Party in Interest's Answer to Petition for Writ. (SC)22-21976 07/25/2022Petition/WritFiled Reply in Support of Petition for Writ of Mandamus or Prohibition.

(SC)22-23348 10/11/2022Order/DispositionalFiled Order Denying Petition. "ORDER the petition DENIED." fn5 [The Honorable Mark Gibbons, Senior Justice, participated in the decision of this matter under a general order of assignment.] RP/DH/MG. (SC)22-32032 Combined Case View