

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF
FLORIDA, ORLANDO DIVISION

**James Laughridge v. Brandon Stahl, Courtney Parenti, Joseph
Lundy and John Doe**

Laughridge · No. 6:25-cv-2126-CEM-LHP · Decided December 8, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida denied James Laughridge’s motion for early discovery seeking to identify a John Doe defendant. The court denied the motion without prejudice because it lacked the memorandum of law required by Local Rule 3.01(b) and did not cite legal authority establishing entitlement to the requested discovery.

OPINION

Laughridge

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

MIDDLE DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

ORLANDO DIVISION

JAMES LAUGHRIDGE,

Plaintiff, v. Case No: 6:25-cv-2126-CEM-LHP

BRANDON STAHL, COURTNEY

PARENTI, JOSEPH LUNDY and

JOHN DOE,

Defendants

ORDER

Before the Court is Plaintiff’s Motion for Early Discovery to Identify John Doe Defendant. On review, the motion is DENIED without prejudice for failure to provide a memorandum of law as required by Local Rule 3.01(b). Specifically, the motion fails to demonstrate, with citation to legal authority, why plaintiff is entitled to the early discovery he seeks. See *Moon v. Newsome*, 863 F.2d 835, 837 (11th Cir. 1989) (a pro se litigant “is subject to the relevant law and rules of court, including the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.”), cert. denied, 493 U.S. 863 (1989). DONE and ORDERED in Orlando, Florida on December 8, 2025. ayn □□□□

LESLIE AN PRICE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Copies furnished to: Counsel of Record Unrepresented Parties fy

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-middle-district-of-florida-orlando-division-united-states-distr/2025/james-laughridge-v-brandon-stahl-courtney-parenti-joseph-jmkjw0bd>