

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
FIRST DEPARTMENT

Zelmanovich v. Eastmore Owners Corp.

Zelmanovich, 2026 NY Slip Op 02479 (Supreme Court of the State of New York Appellate Division First Department 2026) · No. Index No. 650443/22; Appeal No. 6464; Case No. 2025-03141 · Decided April 23, 2026

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, First Department affirmed an order denying Eastmore Owners Corp.'s motion to dismiss claims alleging housing discrimination and failure to provide a reasonable accommodation. The court held that allegations concerning an emotional support dog, inaccurate noise complaints, temporal proximity to notice of the plaintiff's disability, disparate treatment, and failure to engage in an interactive process sufficiently stated claims under the Fair Housing Act, New York City Human Rights Law, and New York State Human Rights Law.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, First Department
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Manzanet-Daniels, J.P.; Kennedy, J.; González, J.; Pitt-Burke, J.; Rosado, J.
JURISDICTION	New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, First Department
DECIDED	April 23, 2026
DOCKET	Index No. 650443/22; Appeal No. 6464; Case No. 2025-03141
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Defendant Eastmore Owners Corp. appealed from an order denying its motion to dismiss the second, third, and fourth causes of action.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	At the pleading stage, the court accepted plaintiff's allegations as true and assessed whether they sufficiently stated causes of action.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published
PARTIES	Eastmore Owners Corp. v. Blanche Zelmanovich

TOPICS

- reasonable accommodation
- ada / disability
- civil rights
- real estate
- appellate procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

housing discrimination

disability discrimination

reasonable accommodation

real estate litigation

appellate litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether plaintiff sufficiently pleaded housing-discrimination causes of action under the Fair Housing Act, the New York City Human Rights Law, and the New York State Human Rights Law.
2. Whether plaintiff sufficiently pleaded failure to provide a reasonable accommodation under the relevant housing-discrimination statutes.
3. Whether the Supreme Court properly denied Eastmore's motion to dismiss the second, third, and fourth causes of action.

HOLDINGS

1. Plaintiff sufficiently stated causes of action for housing discrimination by alleging that she was a member of a protected class as a disabled person, was qualified for the tenancy, suffered an adverse housing-related action, and that the surrounding circumstances gave rise to an inference of discrimination.
2. Plaintiff sufficiently pleaded failure to provide a reasonable accommodation by alleging that she requested accommodation for her medically prescribed emotional support dog and that Eastmore refused to engage in an interactive process to determine whether a reasonable accommodation was available.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“At the pleading stage of the litigation, these allegations adequately give rise to an inference of discrimination, as they suggest that Eastmore had no legitimate reason to send plaintiff a notice of default.”

(*1)

“Plaintiff also sufficiently pleads failure to provide a reasonable accommodation under the relevant statutes.”

(*1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Blanche Zelmanovich alleged that she is disabled and was prescribed an emotional support dog for severe anxiety. After she informed Eastmore Owners Corp. of her disability, Eastmore issued a notice of default based on noise complaints concerning the dog. She alleged that the complaints were inaccurate, that some were made when the dog was not in the apartment, that a similarly situated upstairs neighbor was not issued a notice of default, and that Eastmore refused her offer to meet regarding the dog.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Supreme Court, New York County, denied Eastmore's motion to dismiss the challenged causes of action. The Appellate Division, First Department, unanimously affirmed the order insofar as appealed from, with costs.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

CASES CITED

- Gibson v 526 W. 158th St. Hous. Dev. Fund Corp., 221 AD3d 455, 455 [1st Dept 2023]
- Pelepelin v City of New York, 189 AD3d 450, 452 [1st Dept 2020]
- Mozaffari v New York State Div. of Human Rights, 63 AD3d 643, 644 [1st Dept 2009]
- Matter of Masaryk Towers Corp. v New York State Div. of Human Rights, 234 AD3d 493, 493-494 [1st Dept 2025]

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/new-york-supreme-court-appellate-division-first-department-supreme-court-of-the-state-of-new-york-ap/2026/zelmanovich-v-eastmore-owners-corp-jnn0k5eo>