

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

**In re Anabel Lopez Perez**

No. 03-26-00393-CV · No. No. 03-26-00393-CV · Decided April 30, 2026

---

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN NO. 03-26-00393-CV In re Anabel Lopez Perez ORIGINAL PROCEEDING FROM AUSTIN COUNTY MEMORANDUM OPINION Relator has filed a pro se petition for writ of mandamus complaining that the presiding judge of the Austin County Court at Law has “failed to execute and clarify the QDRO” issued in connection with relator’s 2024 divorce.

By statute, this Court has the authority to issue a writ of mandamus against “a judge of a district, statutory county, statutory probate county, or county court in the court of appeals district” and other writs as necessary to enforce our appellate jurisdiction. See Tex. Gov’t Code § 22.221 (emphasis added). This Court does not have mandamus jurisdiction over any court officials of Austin County, which lies outside of our appellate district.

See id. § 22.201(d) (listing counties that compose Third Court of Appeals District). Nor has relator demonstrated that the exercise of our writ power is necessary to enforce our appellate jurisdiction. See id. § 22.221(a).

Accordingly, the petition is dismissed for want of jurisdiction.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Gisela D. Triana, Justice Before Justices  
Triana, Kelly, and Ellis Filed: April 30, 2026