

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

Garrett v. City of Carlsbad

Garrett · No. 2:25-cv-1066-GJF-KRS · Decided November 25, 2025

SUMMARY

This document is an Initial Scheduling Order issued in a civil case in the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico. It sets deadlines for the parties’ meet-and-confer session, joint status report and provisional discovery plan, initial disclosures, and a telephonic Rule 16 scheduling conference.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of New Mexico
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Kevin R. Sweazea
DECIDED	November 25, 2025
DOCKET	2:25-cv-1066-GJF-KRS
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Initial scheduling order governing case management, discovery, and other nondispositive matters in a pending civil action.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Danny Garrett, et al. v. City of Carlsbad, Jessie Rodriguez

TOPICS

- civil procedure
- discovery dispute

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- civil rights

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. What case-management and discovery obligations should govern the action before the Rule 16 scheduling conference?
2. What deadlines and procedures should the parties follow for meeting and conferring, exchanging initial disclosures, filing the Joint Status Report and Provisional Discovery Plan, and addressing expert discovery?

HOLDINGS

1. The parties must comply with the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the District of New Mexico's Local Rules, meet and confer regarding a provisional discovery plan, address electronically stored information and its preservation, exchange initial disclosures, file the required Joint Status Report and Provisional Discovery Plan, and participate in the scheduled Rule 16 conference.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiffs Danny Garrett and others brought a civil action against the City of Carlsbad and Jessie Rodriguez. The court issued this order to establish procedures for discovery, initial disclosures, expert discovery, scheduling, settlement discussions, alternative dispute resolution, and possible consent to magistrate-judge jurisdiction.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The action was pending in the District of New Mexico and had reached the initial scheduling stage. The court issued an order requiring the parties to meet and confer, prepare and file a Joint Status Report and Provisional Discovery Plan, make initial disclosures, and participate in a Rule 16 scheduling conference.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharmaceuticals, 509 U.S. 579 (1993)