

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

In re Sandisk SSDs Litigation

In re Sandisk SSDs Litigation · No. 23-cv-04152-RFL (LJC) · Decided May 8, 2025

OPINION

1 2 3 4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 6 7
In re SANDISK SSDs LITIGATION. Case No. 23-cv-04152-RFL (LJC) 8 ORDER
REGARDING 9 ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION TO CONSIDER WHETHER DOCUMENTS 10
SHOULD BE FILED UNDER SEAL 11 Re: Dkt. No. 151 12 Plaintiffs filed an administrative
motion under Civil Local Rule 79-5(f) to consider 5 13 || whether documents submitted in support
of a discovery letter brief should be filed under seal, 14 || based solely on Defendants’
designations of confidentiality.

ECF No. 151. Defendants filed a 3 15 || response arguing that Exhibits G and J, which include
technical details of Defendants’ a 16 || investigation of and response to an alleged defect in solid
state drives, should remain under seal to 3 17 || prevent competitive harm. ECF No. 160. Good
cause having been shown, Defendants’ request to S 18 || maintain those exhibits under seal is
GRANTED.

19 The administrative motion is DENIED as to all other exhibits at issue. To complete the 20 ||
public record, Plaintiffs shall file unredacted copies of those exhibits no later than May 15, 2025.
21 This Order does not reach the question of whether “compelling reasons” would support 22 ||
sealing Exhibits G and J if they were offered in a context “more than tangentially related to the 23
merits” of the case.

See generally *Ctr. for Auto Safety v. Chrysler Grp., LLC*, 809 F.3d 1092, 24 |} 1103 (9th Cir.
2016). 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Dated: May 8, 2025 7 Zr Arty — A J. CISIWEROS 28 ited
States Magistrate Judge