

COURT OF APPEALS OF TEXAS, FIFTH DISTRICT AT DALLAS

In re Munger Avenue Baptist Church, Wade Davis, and Pamela Ward

In re Munger Avenue Baptist Church · No. No. 05-21-00539-CV · Decided April 13, 2022

SUMMARY

The Texas Fifth Court of Appeals denied a petition for writ of mandamus challenging the trial court’s denial of a plea to the jurisdiction. The court held that the relators failed to demonstrate a clear abuse of discretion concerning standing and the ecclesiastical abstention doctrine.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals of Texas, Fifth District at Dallas
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Justice Ken Molberg; Justice Molberg; Justice Reichek; Justice Smith
JURISDICTION	Texas
DECIDED	April 13, 2022
DOCKET	No. 05-21-00539-CV
DISPOSITION	writ_denied
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Original proceeding seeking a writ of mandamus challenging the trial court's denial of relators' plea to the jurisdiction.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	Mandamus requires a showing that the trial court clearly abused its discretion and that the relator lacks an adequate appellate remedy. When the mandamus petition challenges the trial court's subject-matter jurisdiction, the relator need not establish the absence of an adequate appellate remedy.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published opinion; precedential status is indicated by the provided metadata.

TOPICS

- subject matter jurisdiction
- standing
- appellate procedure
- civil procedure
- remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- appellate procedure
- ecclesiastical abstention

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the trial court clearly abused its discretion by denying relators' plea to the jurisdiction.
2. Whether relators demonstrated that the real party in interest lacked standing to bring the underlying claims.
3. Whether the ecclesiastical abstention doctrine deprived the trial court of subject-matter jurisdiction.

HOLDINGS

1. A relator ordinarily must demonstrate that the trial court clearly abused its discretion and that the relator lacks an adequate appellate remedy; however, when the mandamus petition challenges the trial court's subject-matter jurisdiction, the relator need not establish the lack of an adequate appellate remedy.
2. Relators failed to demonstrate that the trial court clearly abused its discretion in denying their plea to the jurisdiction, so mandamus relief was not warranted.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Entitlement to mandamus relief requires relators to show that the trial court clearly abused its discretion and that they lack an adequate appellate remedy.” (1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The underlying claims arose from allegations that Pastor Wade Davis made unauthorized withdrawals and personal use of church funds and that Pamela Ward assisted him. The claims asserted against the relators included breach of fiduciary duty, conversion, fraud by nondisclosure, theft of property, conspiracy, and certain declaratory relief.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The real party in interest sued Munger Avenue Baptist Church, Wade Davis, and Pamela Ward on claims including breach of fiduciary duty, conversion, fraud by nondisclosure, theft of property, conspiracy, and declaratory relief. The 298th Judicial District Court of Dallas County denied relators' plea to the jurisdiction. Relators petitioned the Court of Appeals for a writ of mandamus, which the court denied.

DISPOSITION

writ_denied

CASES CITED

- In re Prudential Ins. Co., 148 S.W.3d 124, 135–36 (Tex. 2004) (orig. proceeding)
- In re Episcopal School of Dallas, Inc., 556 S.W.3d 347, 360 (Tex. App.—Dallas, 2017 orig. proceeding)