

SUPREME COURT OF NEVADA

Las Vegas Metro. Police Dep't v. Bowman

Bowman · No. 22-33197 · Decided October 21, 2022

SUMMARY

This document is a Nevada Supreme Court appellate case-management record for Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department v. Bowman. The record shows that the appeal was dismissed with prejudice pursuant to the parties' stipulation, with each party bearing its own costs and attorney fees. The appeal was closed without a remittitur being issued.

CASE DETAILS

|                    |                                                                                                    |
|--------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT              | Supreme Court of Nevada                                                                            |
| JURISDICTION       | Nevada                                                                                             |
| DECIDED            | October 21, 2022                                                                                   |
| DOCKET             | 22-33197                                                                                           |
| DISPOSITION        | dismissed                                                                                          |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE | Civil appeal dismissed pursuant to the parties' stipulation and order of dismissal with prejudice. |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE | No substantive precedential value apparent from the provided dismissal order.                      |
| PARTIES            | Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department v. Stuart L. Bowman                                       |

TOPICS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure
- mediation

PRACTICE AREAS

- appellate procedure
- civil procedure
- municipal law

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the appeal should be dismissed pursuant to the parties' stipulation.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“this appeal is dismissed.”

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The docket does not provide substantive facts underlying the dispute. It shows that the parties participated in a settlement program, were unable to reach an agreement, and then stipulated to dismissal of the appeal with prejudice.

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Las Vegas Metropolitan Police Department appealed from proceedings in the Eighth Judicial District Court for Clark County, case A798270. The appeal was referred to the settlement program, but the parties were unable to settle. The parties subsequently filed a stipulation and order of dismissal with prejudice, and the Supreme Court of Nevada dismissed the appeal on October 21, 2022, with each party bearing its own costs and attorney fees.

## DISPOSITION

dismissed

## OPINION

PER CURIAM.

84399: Case View Nevada Appellate Courts Appellate Case Management System C-Track, the browser based CMS for Appellate Courts Case Search Participant Search Cases Case Search Participant Search 22-33197: This document is currently unavailable. If you need a copy of this document, please contact Clerk's Office at (775)684-1600. Disclaimer: The information and documents available here should not be relied upon as an official record of action.Only filed documents can be viewed.

Some documents received in a case may not be available for viewing.Some documents originating from a lower court, including records and appendices, may not be available for viewing.For official records, please contact the Clerk of the Supreme Court of Nevada at (775) 684-1600. Case Information: 84399 Short Caption:LAS VEGAS METRO. POLICE DEPT VS. BOWMANCourt:Supreme Court Lower Court Case(s):Clark Co. - Eighth Judicial District - A798270Classification:Civil Appeal - General - Other Disqualifications:Case Status:Disposition Filed/Case Closed Replacement:Panel Assigned: Panel To SP/Judge:03/24/2022 / Hauser, CharlesSP Status:Completed Oral Argument:Oral Argument Location: Submission Date:How Submitted: + Party Information RoleParty NameRepresented By AppellantLas Vegas Metropolitan Police DepartmentNicholas D. Crosby (Marquis Aurbach Chtd.)

RespondentStuart L. BowmanAdam Levine (Law Office of Daniel Marks) Daniel Marks (Law Office of Daniel Marks) + Due Items Due DateStatusDue ItemDue From 09/19/2022OverdueCourt Reporter Certificate/Not Fast Track 10/24/2022OverdueOpening Brief

& Appendix Docket Entries DateTypeDescriptionPending?Document 03/17/2022Filing FeeAppeal Filing Fee Waived. State/County/Municipality.

(SC) 03/17/2022Notice of Appeal DocumentsFiled Notice of Appeal. Appeal docketed in the Supreme Court this day. (Docketing statement mailed to counsel for appellant.) (SC)22-08577 03/17/2022Notice/OutgoingIssued Notice of Referral to Settlement Program. This appeal may be assigned to the settlement program. Timelines for requesting transcripts and filing briefs are stayed.

(SC)22-08580 03/18/2022Notice of Appeal DocumentsFiled Copy of District Court Minutes. (SC)22-08631 03/24/2022Settlement NoticeIssued Notice: Assignment to Settlement Program. Issued Assignment Notice to NRAP 16 Settlement Program. Settlement Judge: Charles K. Hauser. (SC)22-09259 04/07/2022Docketing StatementFiled Docketing Statement Civil Appeals. (SC)22-10915 05/03/2022Settlement Program ReportFiled ECAR/Appropriate for Settlement Program.

This case is appropriate for mediation and a settlement conference is scheduled for June 8, 2022, at 1:30 PM. (SC)22-14031 07/11/2022Settlement Program ReportFiled Final Report/No Settlement. The parties were unable to agree to a settlement of this matter. (SC)22-21721 07/12/2022Settlement Order/ProceduralFiled Order: No Settlement/Briefing Reinstated.

The parties were unable to agree to a settlement. Appellant(s): 14 days transcript request; 90 days opening brief and appendix. (SC)22-21846 07/26/2022Order/Clerk'sFiled Order Granting Extension Per Telephonic Request. Appellant's transcript request form due: August 9, 2022. (SC)22-23401 08/05/2022Transcript RequestFiled Request for Transcript of Proceedings.

Transcripts requested: 7/20/21. To Court Reporter: Bill Nelson. (SC)22-24632 10/10/2022Order/Clerk'sFiled Order Granting Extension Per Telephonic Request. Appellant's opening brief and appendix due: October 24, 2022. (SC)22-31787 10/18/2022MotionFiled Stipulation and Order of Dismissal with Prejudice. (SC)22-32845 10/21/2022Order/DispositionalFiled Order Dismissing Appeal.

Pursuant to the stipulation of the parties, and cause appearing, "this appeal is dismissed." The parties shall bear their own costs and attorney fees. Case Closed/No Remittitur Issued. (SC)22-33197 Combined Case View