

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF
ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION

Ronald Mays v. McCalla Reymer Leifert Pierce; et al.

Mays · No. 2:26cv43-MHT · Decided January 21, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama denied Ronald Mays's motion for a temporary restraining order. The court concluded that Mays failed to satisfy the requirements for issuance of a temporary restraining order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b)(1) and cited *Parker v. State Board of Pardons & Paroles*.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama, Northern Division
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Myron H. Thompson
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Middle District of Alabama, Northern Division
DECIDED	January 21, 2026
DOCKET	2:26cv43-MHT
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff moved for a temporary restraining order in a federal civil action.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown
PARTIES	Ronald Mays v. McCalla Reymer Leifert Pierce; et al.

TOPICS

- injunctions
- civil procedure
- equitable relief

PRACTICE AREAS

- Civil procedure
- Equitable relief

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether plaintiff satisfied the requirements for issuance of a temporary restraining order under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 65(b)(1).

HOLDINGS

1. The motion for a temporary restraining order was denied because plaintiff failed to meet the requirements for issuance of such relief.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive factual background beyond the filing of plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Ronald Mays filed a motion for a temporary restraining order. The district court denied the motion by order dated January 21, 2026.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Parker v. State Bd. of Pardons & Paroles, 275 F.3d 1032, 1034-35 (11th Cir. 2001)

OPINION

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE UNITED STATES FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA, NORTHERN DIVISION RONALD MAYS,)) Plaintiff,)) CIVIL ACTION NO. v.) 2:26cv43-MHT) (WO) McCALLA REYMER LEIFERT) PIERCE; et al.,)) Defendants.) ORDER Upon consideration of plaintiff's motion for a temporary restraining order (Doc. 1), it is ORDERED that the motion is denied.

Plaintiff has failed to meet the requirements for issuance of a temporary restraining order. See Parker v. State Bd. of Pardons & Paroles, 275 F.3d 1032, 1034- 35 (11th Cir. 2001) (listing requirements); Fed. R. Civ. P. 65(b)(1). DONE, this the 21st day of January, 2026. /s/ Myron H. Thompson UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE