

COURT OF APPEALS OF TEXAS, FIFTH DISTRICT AT DALLAS

Rayan Dhanes Ganesh v. The State of Texas

Ganesh · No. Nos. 05-20-00861-CR & 05-20-00862-CR · Decided May 13, 2021

SUMMARY

The Fifth District Court of Appeals at Dallas denied the appellant's pro se motions seeking appointment of new counsel and a copy of the State's brief. The court held that the appellant was not entitled to hybrid representation and directed the clerk to send him a copy of the order and the State's brief.

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Order entered May 13, 2021 In The Court of Appeals Fifth District of Texas at Dallas No. 05-20-00861-CR No. 05-20-00862-CR RAYAN DHANES GANESH, Appellant V. THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee On Appeal from the 282nd Judicial District Court Dallas County, Texas Trial Court Cause No. F16-76113-S & F16-76111-S ORDER Appellant has filed two pro se motions, one seeking the appointment of new counsel and the other asking for a copy of the State's brief.

We DENY his pro se motions. See *Scheanette v. State*, 144 S.W.3d 503, 505 n.2 (Tex. Crim. App. 2004) (appellant has no right to hybrid representation on appeal); *Patrick v. State*, 906 S.W.2d 481, 498 (Tex. Crim. App. 1995) (declining to address issues raised in supplemental brief filed by appellant pro se when he was already represented by counsel); *Miniel v. State*, 831 S.W.2d 310, 313 n.1 (Tex.

Crim. App. 1992) (same); *Rudd v. State*, 616 S.W.2d 623, 625 (Tex. Crim. App. [Panel Op.] 1981) (appellant is not entitled to hybrid representation); *Landers v. State*, 550 S.W.2d 272, 280 (Tex. Crim. App. 1977) (op. on reh'g) (same).

We DIRECT the Clerk to send a copy of this order to Rayan Dhanes Ganesh, TDCJ# 02192899, Telford Unit, 3899 Hwy 98, New Boston, TX 75570, along with a copy of the State's brief. /s/ LANA MYERS JUSTICE