

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT

Ashley Womack v. Rustin Wright

No. 19-40726, United States Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit (December 26, 2019)

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

Case: 19-40726 Document: 00515248754 Page: 1 Date Filed: 12/26/2019 IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIFTH CIRCUIT United States Court of Appeals Fifth Circuit No. 19-40726 FILED Summary Calendar December 26, 2019 Lyle W. Cayce Clerk ASHLEY B. WOMACK, Plaintiff - Appellee v. RUSTIN P. WRIGHT, Defendant - Appellant Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas USDC No. 4:18-CV-567 Before WIENER, HAYNES, and COSTA, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM:* Rustin Wright appeals the district court's order remanding this case, originally a family law case, back to the state court for want of federal jurisdiction. For starters, we note that we lack jurisdiction to address any of the grounds Wright raises save and except his argument that 28 U.S.C. § 1443 provides a ground for removal. 28 U.S.C. § 1447(d); *Dunn v. Miller*, 695 F. * Pursuant to 5TH CIR.

R. 47.5, the court has determined that this opinion should not be published and is not precedent except under the limited circumstances set forth in 5TH CIR. R. 47.5.4. Case: 19-40726 Document: 00515248754 Page: 2 Date Filed: 12/26/2019 No. 19-40726 App'x 799, 800 (5th Cir. 2017).

Accordingly, we address only the § 1443 arguments and dismiss the remainder for want of jurisdiction. As to § 1443, we concur with the district court's analysis that this case does not meet the standards of that statute, which is grounded in racial equality. See *Georgia v. Rachel*, 384 U.S. 780 (1966); see also *Dunn*, 695 F. App'x at 800 (collecting cases).

Accordingly, we AFFIRM the district court's § 1443 determination for essentially the same reasons stated by the district court. AFFIRMED in part; DISMISSED in part. 2