

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

L.R. v. Robert Mueller, et al.

L.R. v. Mueller · No. 23-cv-03421-PHK · Decided August 18, 2025

OPINION

Richards v. Mueller

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3

4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

7 8 L.R.,1 Case No. 23-cv-03421-PHK 9 Plaintiff, ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE RE:

FAILURE TO PROSECUTE AND

10 v. FAILURE TO COMPLY WITH COURT

ORDER

11 ROBERT MUELLER, et al., Re: Dkt. 8 12 Defendants. 13 14 On July 7, 2023, Plaintiff, who is
representing himself in this action, filed an initial 15 Complaint and application to proceed in
forma pauperis (“IFP”). See Dkts. 1, 3. The Court 16 granted Plaintiff’s IFP application on May
13, 2024. [Dkt. 7]. The Court then proceeded to 17 undertake the mandatory screening of the
Complaint required by 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B). On

18 June 9, 2025, the Court dismissed Plaintiff’s Complaint without prejudice, pursuant to 28
U.S.C.

19 § 1915(e)(2)(B), as frivolous and for failure to state a claim for relief. [Dkt. 8]. The Court
granted 20 Plaintiff leave to file an amended complaint curing the pleading deficiencies by no
later than

21 August 1, 2025. Id.

22 The August 1, 2025 deadline for filing an amended complaint has passed by more than two 23
weeks. To date, Plaintiff has neither filed an amended complaint nor requested an extension of 24
time from the Court to do so. 25 26 1 As noted by this Court in previous Orders, it appears that
Plaintiff through this lawsuit may be seeking review of an adverse decision regarding social
security benefits. Accordingly, as is the 27 Court’s practice in social security cases and out of an
abundance of caution, the Court will refer to 1 The Court’s June 9, 2025 Order stated that
“[f]ailure to file an amended complaint by the 2 deadline herein and failure to prosecute this
action timely may result in negative consequences for 3 Plaintiff’s case, including
recommendation for dismissal of this action with prejudice under 4 Federal Rule of Civil

Procedure 41(b) for failure to prosecute.” Id. at 10. 5 The Court possesses the inherent power to dismiss an action sua sponte if a plaintiff fails 6 to prosecute their action or fails to comply with court orders. Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); see *Link v. 7 Wabash R.R. Co.*, 370 U.S. 626, 629-30 (1962) (dismissal for failure to prosecute); *Yourish v. Cal. 8 Amplifier*, 191 F.3d 983, 987 (9th Cir. 1999) (dismissal for failure to comply with court orders). 9 Plaintiff was previously informed, and is warned again by this Order to Show Cause, that failure 10 to comply with this Court’s orders and failure to prosecute this case will result in negative 11 consequences for Plaintiff’s case, including the dismissal of this action. Specifically, failure to 12 respond to this Order to Show Cause and failure to file an amended complaint by the deadline will 13 be found to be a failure to comply with this Court’s orders and a failure to prosecute this lawsuit, 14 which will lead to consequences adverse to Plaintiff’s lawsuit. 15 Accordingly, the Court herein ORDERS that, by no later than September 18, 2025, 16 Plaintiff SHALL EITHER (1) file an amended complaint which addresses and cures the 17 deficiencies noted by the Court’s June 9, 2025 Order, OR (2) file a written response to this Order 18 to Show Cause explaining why this action should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute this 19 case or for failure to comply with this Court’s directives and orders. To be clear, if Plaintiff files 20 (by September 18, 2025) an amended complaint curing the deficiencies noted in the June 9, 2025 21 Order, such a filing will be deemed to be a response to this Order to Show Cause and no other 22 written response would be necessary. 23 If Plaintiff needs additional time to prepare and file an amended complaint, Plaintiff shall 24 explain why and how much additional time is needed in any written response filed by the 25 September 18, 2025 deadline.

26 If Plaintiff fails to respond to this Order to Show Cause by the September 18, 2025 27 deadline set herein, the Court will reassign this action to a District Judge with a recommendation] The Clerk is directed to mail this Order to Show Cause to Plaintiff at their address of 2 record. 3 IT IS SO ORDERED. 4 || Dated: August 18, 2025 5

PETER H. KANG

6 United States Magistrate Judge 7 8 9 10 11 a 12 & 13 14 15 16 17 © 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28