

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

**Strike 3 Holdings, LLC v. John Doe Subscriber Assigned IP Address
73.241.101.254**

Case No. 26-cv-00162-HSG (N.D. Cal. Jan. 23, 2026) · No. 26-cv-00162-HSG · Decided January 23, 2026

OPINION

Strike 3 Holdings, LLC v. John Doe Subscriber Assigned IP Address 73.241.101.254

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3

4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 7 STRIKE 3 HOLDINGS, LLC, Case No. 26-cv-00162-HSG 8 Plaintiff, ORDER GRANTING
EX PARTE

APPLICATION FOR LEAVE TO

9 v. SERVE A THIRD PARTY SUBPOENA

10 JOHN DOE SUBSCRIBER ASSIGNED IP Re: Dkt. No. 7

ADDRESS 73.241.101.254,

11 Defendant. 12

13 Plaintiff Strike 3 Holdings, LLC moves ex parte for leave to serve a third-party subpoena 14
prior to the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) conference. Dkt. No. 7. Having considered 15
Plaintiff’s application, the Court ORDERS as follows: 16 1. Plaintiff has established that “good
cause” exists for it to serve a third-party 17 subpoena on Comcast Cable (hereinafter the “ISP”)
under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45. 18 See, e.g., UMG Recording, Inc. v. Doe, 2008 WL
4104214, *4 (N.D. Cal. 2008); Arista Records 19 LLC v. Does 1–19, 551 F. Supp. 2d 1, 6–7
(D.D.C. 2008); see also Strike 3 Holdings, LLC v. Doe,
20 No. 17-CV-07051-LB, 2018 WL 357287, at *2 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 10, 2018).

21 2. Plaintiff may serve the ISP with a Rule 45 subpoena commanding the ISP to 22 provide
Plaintiff with the true name and address of the Defendant John Doe to whom the ISP 23 assigned
an IP address as detailed in complaint and as listed in the case caption. Plaintiff shall 24 attach a
copy of this Order to any such subpoena. 25 3. Plaintiff may also serve a Rule 45 subpoena in the
same manner as above on any 26 service provider that is identified in response to a subpoena as a
provider of Internet services to 27 Defendant. 1 4. If the ISP qualifies as a “cable operator,”
defined by 47 U.S.C. § 522(5), as any 2 person or group of persons: 3 (A) who provides cable
service over a cable system and directly or 4 through one or more affiliates owns a significant
interest in such 5 cable system, or 6 (B) who otherwise controls or is responsible for, through any

7 arrangement, the management and operation of such a cable system, 8 it shall comply with 47
U.S.C. § 551(c)(2)(B), which in turn states: 9 10 A cable operator may disclose such [personal
identifying] information if the 11 disclosure is . . . made pursuant to a court order authorizing such
disclosure, if the 12 subscriber is notified of such order by the person to whom the order is
directed. 13 14 by sending a copy of this Order to the Defendant. 15 5. Plaintiff may only use the
information disclosed in response to a Rule 45 subpoena 16 served on the ISP for the purpose of
protecting and enforcing Plaintiff's rights as set forth in its 17 complaint. 18 6. Each ISP will have
30 days from the date of service upon it to serve each of its 19 subscriber(s) whose identity
information is sought with a copy of the subpoena and a copy of this 20 order. The ISPs may serve
the subscribers using any reasonable means, including written notice 21 sent to the subscriber's
last known address, transmitted either by first-class mail or via overnight 22 service. 23 7. Each
subscriber and each ISP shall have 30 days from the date of service upon him, 24 her or it to file
any motions in this court contesting the subpoena (including a motion to quash or 25 modify the
subpoena). If the 30-day period after service on the subscriber lapses without the 26 subscriber or
the ISP contesting the subpoena, the ISP shall have 10 days to produce to Plaintiff 27 the
information responsive to the subpoena with respect to that subscriber. 1 Additionally, under Rule
26(c), the Court may sua sponte grant a protective order. See
2 Strike 3 Holdings, 2018 WL 357287, at *3. Because the ISP subscriber(s) may not be the
3 individual(s) who infringed Plaintiffs copyright, and because of the potential embarrassment 4
associated with being publicly accused of illegally downloading adult motion pictures, the Court 5
|| issues a limited protective order in this case: To the extent that the ISP releases any name or
other 6 || personal identifying information regarding Defendant to Plaintiff, such information shall
be 7 || treated as confidential and shall not be publicly disclosed until Defendant has had the
opportunity 8 to file a motion with this Court to proceed anonymously and the Court has ruled on
that motion. 9 If Defendant fails to file a motion for leave to proceed anonymously within 30 days
after 10 || his or her information is disclosed to Plaintiff, this limited protective order will expire.
11 IT IS SO ORDERED. 12 || Dated: 1/23/2026 Abgpspreel 3 Abl_} 4 HAYWOOD S.
GILLIAM, JR. United States District Judge 16 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28