

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
TEXAS, CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION**

Sidney Ray Snellings v. United States of America, et al.

Snellings · No. 2:26-CV-0029 · Decided April 7, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas adopted a magistrate judge's Memorandum and Recommendation without objection. The court denied Plaintiff's motion to proceed in forma pauperis, dismissed the complaint without prejudice, denied the motion for a preliminary injunction as moot, and ordered notice to the district's Three Strikes List manager.

OPINION

Southern District of Texas ENTERED UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT eee SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS CORPUS CHRISTI DIVISION SIDNEY RAY SNELLINGS, § § Plaintiff, § CIVIL ACTION NO. 2:26-CV-0029 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, ef al.,: Defendants. ORDER ADOPTING MEMORANDUM & RECOMMENDATION Before the Court is Magistrate Judge Jason Libby's Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R").

(D.E. 14). The M&R recommends that the Court deny Plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP, dismiss his complaint without prejudice, deny as moot his motion for preliminary injunction, and send notice of this dismissal to the Manager of the Three Strikes List for the Southern District of Texas. /d. at 5.

The parties were provided proper notice of, and the opportunity to object to, the Magistrate Judge's M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); FED. R. Civ. P. 72(b); General Order No. 2002-13. No objection has been filed. When no timely objection has been filed, the district court need only determine whether the Magistrate Judge's M&R is clearly erroneous or contrary to law.

United States v. Wilson, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (Sth Cir. 1989) (per curiam); Powell v. Litton Loan Servicing, L.P., No. 4:14-CV-02700, 2015 WL 3823141, at *I (S.D. Tex. June 18, 2015) (Harmon, J.) (citation omitted). Having reviewed the proposed findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge, the filings of the parties, the record, and the applicable law, and finding that the M&R is not clearly erroneous or contrary to law, the Court ADOPTS the M&R in its entirety.

(D.E. 14). Accordingly, the Court 1/2 DENIES Plaintiff's motion to proceed IFP, (D.E. 3), DISMISSES his complaint without prejudice, and DENIES as moot his motion for preliminary injunction, (D.E. 2). The Court ORDERS the Clerk of Court to send notice of this dismissal to the

Manager of the Three Strikes List for the Southern District of Texas at
Three_Strikes@txs.uscourts.gov.

The Court will enter final judgment separately. Zt SO ORDERED. (DAVID ORALES UNITED
STATES DISTRICT JUDGE Signed: Corpus Christi, Texas April Ww 2026 2/2

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-southern-district-of-te/2026/sidney-ray-snellings-v-united-states-of-america-et-al-l8imece0>