

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Anthony Jackson v. FCA US, LLC

No. 2:25-cv-01450-DAD-CKD (E.D. Cal. Sept. 18, 2025) · No. 2:25-cv-01450-DAD-CKD · Decided
September 19, 2025

SUMMARY

This is a scheduling order in Anthony Jackson v. FCA US, LLC, issued by the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California. It establishes discovery, expert disclosure, motion, settlement, pretrial, and trial deadlines, including a jury trial set for June 29, 2027.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Dale A. Drozd
DECIDED	September 19, 2025
DOCKET	2:25-cv-01450-DAD-CKD
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The district court issued a Rule 16(b) scheduling order after reviewing the parties' joint status report and determined that an initial scheduling conference was unnecessary.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Anthony Jackson v. FCA US, LLC

TOPICS

- civil procedure
- discovery dispute
- joinder
- motion to amend
- motions to dismiss

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- products liability

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should vacate the initial scheduling conference and issue a scheduling order based on the parties' joint status report.

2. Whether the parties had shown good cause to modify the schedule or governing Federal Rules of Civil Procedure concerning amendment, discovery, electronically stored information, depositions, and discovery limits.

HOLDINGS

1. The court may issue a Rule 16(b) scheduling order without consulting the parties at a scheduling conference when the court determines that the conference is unnecessary after reviewing the parties' joint status report.
2. After the schedule becomes final, it may be modified only by leave of court upon a showing of good cause; party stipulations alone do not modify the schedule or extend scheduling requirements.
3. A party seeking amendment after the scheduling order must first establish good cause to modify the schedule, and an amendment under Rule 15(a) must not be prejudicial, the product of undue delay, proposed in bad faith, or futile.
4. The court declined to adopt the parties' unsupported proposals that would modify the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure governing ESI search methodology, phased discovery, requests for production, requests for admission, deposition subjects and limits, deposition objections, and custodians of records.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Accordingly, the court vacates the initial scheduling conference set for September 22, 2025 and hereby issues this scheduling order.” (at 1)

“The schedule, once final, shall not be modified except by leave of court upon showing of good cause.” (at 9)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The case concerns a motor-vehicle-related action brought by Anthony Jackson against FCA US, LLC. The parties submitted a joint status report addressing case scheduling and proposed discovery procedures. The court entered a scheduling order setting discovery and trial deadlines and declining several proposed modifications to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The action was pending in the Eastern District of California under docket number 2:25-cv-01450-DAD-CKD. The court vacated the initial scheduling conference and entered deadlines and procedures governing service, amendment, discovery, motions, settlement, pretrial proceedings, and trial.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Johnson v. Mammoth Recreations, Inc., 975 F.2d 604

- *Foman v. Davis*, 371 U.S. 178, 182 (1962)
- *Delux Pub. Charter, LLC v. County of Orange*, No. 8:20-cv-02344-JLS-KES, 2021 WL 4460333, at *4 (C.D. Cal. Aug. 2, 2021)
- *NuVasive, Inc. v. Alphatec Holdings, Inc.*, No. 18-cv-00347-CAB-MDD, 2019 WL 4934477, at *2 (S.D. Cal. Oct. 7, 2019)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california/2025/anthony-jackson-v-fca-us-llc-lcukc6ob>