

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FIRST DISTRICT OF TEXAS

**Prasla Property, Inc., Navroz K. Prasla, Media Films Craft, Inc., and
Navrozmedianetwork, Inc. v. Spark Wealth Investments, LLC**

Prasla Property · No. 01-25-00920-CV · Decided January 27, 2026

SUMMARY

The First District Court of Appeals of Texas dismissed the appeal for want of prosecution because the appellants neither paid the appellate filing fee nor established indigence after receiving notice and an opportunity to comply. The court also dismissed all pending motions as moot.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Per curiam; Chief Justice Adams; Justice Gunn; Justice Johnson
JURISDICTION	Court of Appeals for the First District of Texas
DECIDED	January 27, 2026
DOCKET	01-25-00920-CV
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appellants filed an appeal but failed to pay the appellate filing fee or establish indigence after receiving notice and an opportunity to cure.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published
PARTIES	Prasla Property, Inc., Navroz K. Prasla, Media Films Craft, Inc., Navrozmedianetwork, Inc. v. Spark Wealth Investments, LLC

TOPICS

appellate procedure costs civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

Appellate procedure Civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the appeal should be dismissed for want of prosecution when appellants failed to pay the appellate filing fee or establish indigence after notice and an opportunity to cure.

2. Whether pending motions should be dismissed as moot after dismissal of the appeal.

HOLDINGS

1. An appeal may be dismissed for want of prosecution when appellants fail to pay the appellate filing fee or establish indigence after receiving notice and an opportunity to cure.
2. Pending motions are moot following dismissal of the appeal.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“We dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution.”

“We dismiss all pending motions as moot.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Appellants filed a notice of appeal but did not pay the required appellate filing fee or establish indigence for purposes of appellate costs. The appellate clerk notified appellants of the deficiency and gave them until December 29, 2025, to cure it. Appellants neither responded to the notice nor cured the deficiency.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Appellants filed their notice of appeal on November 3, 2025, from the 400th District Court of Fort Bend County, Texas. The appellate clerk notified them that the appeal could be dismissed unless they paid the filing fee by December 29, 2025. Appellants did not respond, pay the fee, or establish indigence, so the court dismissed the appeal for want of prosecution and dismissed all pending motions as moot.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

OPINION

Prasla Property, Inc. Navroz K. Prasla, Media Films Craft, Inc., and Navrozmedianetwork, Inc. v. Spark Wealth Investment, LLC

PER CURIAM.

Opinion issued January 27, 2026 In The Court of Appeals For The First District of Texas

NO. 01-25-00920-CV

PRASLA PROPERTY, INC., NAVROZ K. PRASLA, MEDIA FILMS

CRAFT, INC., AND NAVROZMEDIANETWORK, INC., Appellants V. SPARK WEALTH INVESTMENTS, LLC, Appellee On Appeal from the 400th District Court Fort Bend County, Texas Trial Court Case No. 24-DCV-319828

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Appellants Prasla Property, Inc., Navroz K. Prasla, Media Films Craft, Inc., and Navrozmedianetwork, Inc. filed their notice of appeal on November 3, 2025. Appellants did not

pay their appellate filing fee or establish indigence for purposes of appellate costs. See TEX. R. APP. P. 5, 20.1; see also TEX. GOV'T CODE §§ 51.207, 51.208, 51.851(b), 51.941(a); Order, Fees Charged in the Supreme Court, in Civil Cases in the Courts of Appeals, and Before the Judicial Panel on Multi-District Litigation, and in the Business Court, Misc. Docket No. 24-9047 (Tex. July 26, 2024), reprinted in TEX. R. APP. P. app. A § B(1) (listing fees in courts of appeals). The Clerk of this Court notified appellants that unless they paid the appellate filing fee by December 29, 2025, their appeal could be dismissed. See TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(b). To date, appellants have not responded to the Clerk's notice, nor paid the appellate filing fee or established indigence for purposes of appellate costs. We dismiss the appeal for want of prosecution. See TEX. R. APP. P. 42.3(b)– (c), 43.2(f). We dismiss all pending motions as moot.

PER CURIAM

Panel consists of Chief Justice Adams and Justices Gunn and Johnson. 2