

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
TEXAS, TYLER DIVISION**

Kristeen Brooke Adams v. Texas Department of Public Safety, et al.

Adams · No. 6:25-CV-327-JDK-KNM · Decided December 1, 2025

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION
KRISTEEN BROOKE ADAMS, § § Plaintiff, § § Case No. 6:25-CV-327-JDK-KNM v. § §
TEXAS DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC § SAFETY, et al., § § Defendants. § ORDER ADOPTING
REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION OF UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Plaintiff
Kristeen Brooke Adams filed this lawsuit on August 25, 2025.

The case was referred to United States Magistrate Judge K. Nicole Mitchell for findings of fact, conclusions of law, and recommendations for disposition. After providing an opportunity for the plaintiff to amend her claims, Judge Mitchell issued a Report recommending that the complaint be dismissed without prejudice for failure to prosecute. Docket No. 6. No written objections have been filed.

This Court reviews the findings and conclusions of the Magistrate Judge de novo only if a party objects within fourteen days of service of the Report and Recommendation. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). In conducting a de novo review, the Court examines the entire record and makes an independent assessment under the law. *Douglass v. United Servs. Auto. Ass’n*, 79 F.3d 1415, 1430 (5th Cir.

1996) (en banc), superseded on other grounds by statute, 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1) (extending the time to file objections from ten to fourteen days). Here, no objections were filed. The Court therefore reviews the Magistrate Judge’s findings for clear error or abuse of discretion and reviews her legal conclusions to determine whether they are contrary to law. See *United States v. Wilson*, 864 F.2d 1219, 1221 (5th Cir.

1989), cert. denied, 492 U.S. 918 (1989) (holding that, if no objections to a Magistrate Judge’s Report are filed, the standard of review is “clearly erroneous, abuse of discretion and contrary to law”). Having reviewed the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendations, the Court finds no clear error or abuse of discretion and no conclusions contrary to law.

Accordingly, the Court hereby ADOPTS the Report and Recommendation of the United States Magistrate Judge (Docket No. 6) as the findings of this Court. Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(b), it is ORDERED that all pending claims in this case are DISMISSED without prejudice for failure to prosecute. So ORDERED and SIGNED this 1st day of December, 2025.

JHREMYD. KERN DLE UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-tex/2025/kristeen-brooke-adams-v-texas-department-of-public-safety-ljk3z3kz>