

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Noah Shumate v. Thomas McKenzie, et al.

No. CV 24-6532 FMO (SSCx) (C.D. Cal. Dec. 22, 2025) · No. CV 24-6532 FMO (SSCx) · Decided December
22, 2025

OPINION

Noah Shumate v. Thomas McKenzie, et al.

PER CURIAM.

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. CV 24-6532 FMO (SSCx) Date December 22, 2025 Title Noah Shumate v. Thomas McKenzie, et al. Present: The Honorable Fernando M. Olguin, United States District Judge Vanessa Figueroa None None Deputy Clerk Court Reporter / Recorder Tape No. Attorney Present for Plaintiff: Attorney Present for Defendants: None Present None Present Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Re: Filing Second Amended Complaint On December 8, 2025, the court granted in part defendants’ motion to dismiss. (See Dkt. 67, Court’s Order of December 8, 2025, at 2). The court dismissed the First Amended Complaint with leave to amend, and ordered plaintiff to file a Second Amended Complaint, or a Notice of Intent to Stand on First Amended Complaint (“Notice of Intent”) no later than December 18, 2025. (See *id.*). Plaintiff was “cautioned that failure to timely file a Second Amended Complaint or Notice of Intent shall result in this action being dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with a court order.” (See *id.* at 3). On December 18, 2025, plaintiff’s counsel filed a declaration requesting an extension of time to file a Second Amended Complaint. (See Dkt. 68, Declaration of Caree Harper (“Harper Decl.”) at ¶¶ 1-6). In the alternative, plaintiff’s counsel noted that, if the court did not grant an extension, the declaration would serve as notice that plaintiff intends to stand on his First Amended Complaint rather than file a Second Amended Complaint. (See Dkt. 68, Harper Decl. at ¶ 6); see also *Edwards v. Marin Park, Inc.*, 356 F.3d 1058, 1065 (9th Cir. 2004) (explaining that to avoid dismissal pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, plaintiff must file an amended complaint or a notice that he will stand on the dismissed complaint). Even though plaintiff’s Second Amended Complaint was due on December 18, 2025, the court will give plaintiff one final opportunity to file a Second Amended Complaint or otherwise respond. Plaintiff is again admonished to carefully consider the arguments raised by defendants in their motion to dismiss. (See Dkt. 67, Court’s Order of December 8, 2025, at 1-2). Based on the foregoing IT IS ORDERED THAT:

1. If plaintiff still wishes to pursue this action, he is granted until January 16, 2026, to file a Second Amended Complaint (“SAC”). No further extensions will be granted. In the event

plaintiff does not file an SAC, the court will assume that plaintiff will stand on his First Amended Complaint. (See Dkt. 68, Harper Decl. at ¶ 6); Edwards, 356 F.3d at 1065.

CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No. CV 24-6532 FMO (SSCx) Date December 22, 2025 Title Noah Shumate v. Thomas McKenzie, et al.

2. Defendants shall file their Answer to the Second Amended Complaint or a motion pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 no later than January 30, 2026.

3. For purposes of a motion to dismiss, the parties are excused from the meet-and-confer requirement. Initials of Preparer vdr