

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
MISSOURI**

Clint Phillips, III v. United States

Phillips · No. No. 4:25-cv-01758-JMD · Decided May 13, 2026

OPINION

Phillips

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

EASTERN DIVISION

CLINT PHILLIPS, III,)

) Plaintiff,)) v.) No. 4:25-cv-01758-JMD)

UNITED STATES,)

) Defendant.)

MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Plaintiff Clint Phillips, III, a frequent pro se and in forma pauperis litigator in this Court, has filed a Complaint against the United States, ECF 1, an Application for leave to proceed in forma pauperis, ECF 2, and a Motion requesting leave to pay the filing fee “in partial payments,” ECF 6. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff’s Application and finds that he is unable to afford the \$405 filing fee. The Court will therefore grant Plaintiff leave to proceed in forma pauperis. The Court has reviewed Plaintiff’s Motion requesting leave to pay the filing fee over time, and finds no basis to grant the requested relief. The Court will therefore deny the Motion. Finally, having reviewed Plaintiff’s Complaint, the Court will dismiss this case and deny Plaintiff’s remaining Motions, ECF 3 and 5, as moot. Background Plaintiff states he brings various tort claims against the United States, including false arrest, false imprisonment, malicious prosecution, libel, slander, tortious interference with a contract, and “a continuum of impersonation, sub[o]rnation of perjury and multiple 4th and 14th Amd violations.” ECF 1 at 3. In support, Plaintiff asserts factual allegations that do not appear to be grounded in reality. For example, Plaintiff alleges that he and “others are being impersonated by multiple imposters” at government facilities and military bases through the use of fraudulent identifications. Id. at 5. Plaintiff alleges that “Tangos” accessed a military base through veterans’ wives “who are being extorted and sextorted by clubhoppers who are using my daughters SSA & VA benefits to sell drugs and hop from club to club.” Id. Plaintiff alleges that “[a] bunch of harlots males, and females” are impersonating him and “manipulating my wife and daughter for my property and monies, including her adopters who had evil intentions to use her for it.” Id. Plaintiff also alleges that governmental entities are “in subornation of impersonation,

perjury and committing tortious interference with contracts, as well as a mutual understanding and meeting of the mind between the VA offices, the City of Bellefontaine, [illegible].” Id. As relief, Plaintiff seeks \$500 million along with “Injunctive Relief.” Id. Plaintiff describes the injunctive relief as termination of “all imposters being hired @ the St. Louis VA under my own name,” and termination of the “conspiracy between the VA and multiple cities and counties via their inmates, and police officers” Id. Discussion This Court must screen complaints filed in forma pauperis, and must dismiss any action that is frivolous, among other grounds. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2)(B)(i). A complaint is frivolous when it “lacks an arguable basis either in law or in fact.” *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 325

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
FOR THE EASTERN AND WESTERN
DISTRICTS OF MISSOURI

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-missouri-united-states-district-court-for-t/2026/clint-phillips-iii-v-united-states-lp8b1nx7>