

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Castaneda v. Valle Del Sol, LLC

Castaneda · No. 1:22-cv-01174-ADA-SKO · Decided March 3, 2023

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California orders the Clerk of Court to close the case following the parties’ joint stipulation. The plaintiff’s individual claims were dismissed with prejudice, and the putative class claims were dismissed without prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Sheila K. Oberto
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	March 3, 2023
DOCKET	1:22-cv-01174-ADA-SKO
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the plaintiff's individual claims with prejudice and the putative class claims without prejudice.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unknown; district court order resolving stipulated dismissal

TOPICS

civil procedure class actions

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure class actions

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the court should recognize the parties' joint stipulation dismissing the plaintiff's individual claims with prejudice and the putative class claims without prejudice and close the case.

HOLDINGS

1. The parties' stipulation validly dismissed the plaintiff's individual claims with prejudice and the putative class claims without prejudice, terminating the action.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“In light of the parties’ stipulation, Plaintiff’s individual claims have been dismissed with prejudice, the putative class claims have been dismissed without prejudice, and this action has been terminated.” (at 1)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Adela Castaneda asserted individual claims and putative class claims against Valle Del Sol, LLC, Sun Valley Packaging, L.C., and Does 1 through 10. The parties jointly stipulated to dismiss Castaneda's individual claims with prejudice and the putative class claims without prejudice.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff brought individual and putative class claims against the defendants. On March 1, 2023, the parties filed a joint stipulation of dismissal under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). The court recognized the stipulated dismissals and directed the clerk to close the case.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)

OPINION

Castaneda v. Valle Del Sol, LLC

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5

6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

7 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

8 9 ADELA CASTANEDA, and on behalf of all) Case No. 1:22-cv-01174-ADA-SKO others
similarly situated,)

10) ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK

Plaintiff,) OF COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE 11) v.) (Doc. 9) 12)

VALLE DEL SOL, LLC; SUN VALLEY)

13 PACKAGING, L.C.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,)) 14 Defendants.)) 15 16 On March 1, 2023,
the parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing Plaintiff Adela Castaneda’s 17 individual claims with
prejudice, and the putative class claims without prejudice. (Doc. 9.) 18 In light of the parties’
stipulation, Plaintiff’s individual claims have been dismissed with 19 prejudice, the putative class

claims have been dismissed without prejudice, and this action has been 20 terminated. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); *Wilson v. City of San Jose*, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997). Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is directed to close this case.

22 23 24 25 IT IS SO ORDERED. 26

27 Dated: March 3, 2023 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto .

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

28