

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF
MICHIGAN, SOUTHERN DIVISION

Jeffery Jackson v. Trinity Health Grand Rapids

Jackson v. Trinity Health Grand Rapids · No. 1:25-cv-156 · Decided February 18, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan adopted the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation without objection. The court dismissed the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, denied the defendant's motion to dismiss without prejudice, and certified that an appeal would not be taken in good faith.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan, Southern Division
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Paul L. Maloney
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Western District of Michigan
DECIDED	February 18, 2026
DOCKET	1:25-cv-156
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiff filed a complaint, Defendant moved to dismiss, and the matter was referred to a magistrate judge for a report and recommendation. The district court adopted the report and recommendation, dismissed the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction, and denied the motion to dismiss without prejudice.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The district court reviewed the Report and Recommendation under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); no objections were filed.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unpublished district court order; precedential status not stated
PARTIES	Jeffery Jackson v. Trinity Health Grand Rapids

TOPICS

- subject matter jurisdiction
- motions to dismiss
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the Report and Recommendation should be adopted in the absence of objections.
2. Whether the complaint should be dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
3. Whether Defendant's motion to dismiss should be denied without prejudice following dismissal of the complaint.

HOLDINGS

1. The district court approved and adopted the magistrate judge's Report and Recommendation as the opinion of the court because no objections had been filed.
2. The complaint was dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.
3. Defendant's motion to dismiss was denied without prejudice after the complaint was dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the complaint (ECF No. 1) is DISMISSED."

"IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion to Dismiss (ECF No. 20) is DENIED without prejudice."

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion contains no substantive facts concerning the underlying dispute. Plaintiff filed a complaint against Trinity Health Grand Rapids on February 11, 2025, and Defendant responded with a motion to dismiss.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiff initiated the action on February 11, 2025. Defendant filed a motion to dismiss. The magistrate judge issued a Report and Recommendation on January 23, 2026, recommending dismissal of the complaint for lack of subject matter jurisdiction and denial of the motion to dismiss. No objections were filed, and the district court approved and adopted the Report and Recommendation as its opinion.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- McGore v. Wrigglesworth, 114 F.3d 601, 610-11 (6th Cir. 1997)
- Jones v. Bock, 549 U.S. 199, 206, 211-12 (2007)