

COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF TEXAS AT
TEXARKANA

Reginald Reece v. the State of Texas

Reece · No. 06-24-00031-CR · Decided February 13, 2026

SUMMARY

The Texas Sixth Court of Appeals considered Reginald Reece’s challenge to consecutive sentences and jail-time credit following his guilty plea to theft of property. The court modified the judgment to clarify the relationship between the sentence in this case and sentences in two related cases, then affirmed the judgment as modified.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals for the Sixth Appellate District of Texas at Texarkana
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Justice Charles van Cleef; Chief Justice Stevens; Justice van Cleef; Justice Rambin
JURISDICTION	Court of Appeals for the Sixth Appellate District of Texas at Texarkana
DECIDED	February 13, 2026
DOCKET	06-24-00031-CR
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Reece appealed his guilty-plea conviction and thirteen-year sentence for theft of property. The State raised a cross-point challenging Reece's right to appeal. The court resolved the issues by applying the same legal standard and analysis it had used in a related appeal, modified the judgment's sentence-sequencing language, and affirmed as modified.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court stated that it applied the same legal standard and analysis used in appellate cause number 06-24-00029-CR. The specific standard is not stated in this opinion.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Unpublished and nonprecedential memorandum opinion
PARTIES	Reginald Reece v. The State of Texas

TOPICS

- sentencing
- appellate procedure
- criminal procedure
- remedies

PRACTICE AREAS

Texas criminal law

criminal appellate practice

sentencing

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the trial court abused its discretion by ordering Reece's sentence to run consecutively rather than concurrently with the sentences in his related theft cases.
2. Whether Reece received the correct amount of jail-time credit.
3. Whether Reece had a right to appeal despite entering guilty pleas and the absence of certificates of appeal in the records.

HOLDINGS

1. The State's cross-point challenging Reece's right to appeal was overruled.
2. The judgment was modified by deleting the word "CONSECUTIVELY" and replacing the sentence-sequencing provision so that Reece's sentence would run after he served the thirteen-year sentence in trial court cause number 21F0725-005 and concurrently with the sentence in trial court cause number 20F0970-005.
3. The appellate court affirmed the judgment as modified after applying the same analysis used in the related appeal.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"THIS SENTENCE SHALL RUN: AFTER REECE HAS SERVED HIS THIRTEEN-YEAR SENTENCE IN TRIAL COURT CAUSE NUMBER 21F0725-005; THIS SENTENCE SHALL RUN CONCURRENTLY WITH REECE'S SENTENCE IN TRIAL COURT CAUSE NUMBER 20F0970-005." (at 2-3)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Reginald Reece pleaded guilty to theft of property valued at less than \$2,500. He received a thirteen-year prison sentence, which the trial court ordered to run consecutively with thirteen-year sentences in two related theft convictions. Reece challenged the consecutive operation of the sentence and the calculation of jail-time credit.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Reece pleaded guilty to theft of property valued at less than \$2,500 and was sentenced to thirteen years' confinement. The trial court ordered the sentence to run consecutively with two thirteen-year sentences imposed in related theft cases. Reece appealed the consecutive-sentence order and the amount of jail-time credit, while the State argued that he lacked a right of appeal because the records did not contain certificates of appeal following his guilty pleas. The appellate court applied its analysis from appellate cause number 06-24-00029-CR, modified the judgment, and affirmed it as modified.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

CASES CITED

- Reginald Reece v. State, appellate cause number 06-24-00029-CR

OPINION

Reginald Reece v. the State of Texas

PER CURIAM.

In the Court of Appeals Sixth Appellate District of Texas at Texarkana No. 06-24-00031-CR REGINALD REECE, Appellant V. THE STATE OF TEXAS, Appellee On Appeal from the 5th District Court Bowie County, Texas Trial Court No. 20F0292-005 Before Stevens, C.J., van Cleef and Rambin, JJ. Memorandum Opinion by Justice van Cleef

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Reginald Reece pled guilty to theft of property valued at less than \$2,500.00.¹ He was sentenced to thirteen years' confinement in prison. The trial court ordered Reece's sentence to run consecutively with two other theft convictions in which he was also sentenced to thirteen years in prison.² Via a consolidated brief, Reece appeals, arguing that (1) the trial court abused its discretion when it ordered his sentences to run consecutively, rather than concurrently, and (2) the trial court did not give him the correct amount of jail-time credit. In addition to its response to Reece's points of error, the State also presented a cross-point of error, maintaining, among other things, that Reece did not have a right of appeal because the "records did, and could not, contain certificates of . . . appeal" since, according to the State, Reece entered pleas of guilty in each case.³ We addressed Reece's arguments and the State's cross-point of error in detail in our opinion in appellate court cause number 06-24-00029-CR, and we apply the same legal standard and analysis here as we did in that case. We modify the trial court's judgment in trial court cause number 20F0292-005 by deleting the word "CONSECUTIVELY" from the "THIS SENTENCE SHALL RUN:" section and modifying that section to state, "THIS SENTENCE SHALL RUN: AFTER REECE HAS 1 In this case, Reece appeals his conviction in trial court cause number 20F0292-005. 2 In our appellate court cause number 06-24-00029-CR, Reece appeals his theft conviction in trial court cause number 21F0725-005. Likewise, in our appellate court cause number 06-24-00030-CR, Reece appeals his theft conviction in trial court cause number 20F0970-005. 3 For the reasons stated in our opinion in appellate court cause number 06-24-00029-CR, we overrule the State's cross-point of error. 2

SERVED HIS THIRTEEN-YEAR SENTENCE IN TRIAL COURT CAUSE NUMBER 21F0725-005; THIS SENTENCE SHALL RUN CONCURRENTLY WITH REECE'S SENTENCE IN TRIAL COURT CAUSE NUMBER 20F0970-005."

We affirm the trial court's judgment, as modified. Charles van Cleef Justice Date Submitted: July 9, 2025 Date Decided: February 13, 2026 Do Not Publish 3

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/court-of-appeals-for-the-sixth-appellate-district-of-texas-at-texarkana-court-of-appeals-for-the-six/2026/reginald-reece-v-the-state-of-texas-lv4tzc40>