

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Akika Parker v. Sacramento, et al.

No. 2:24-cv-01195-EFB (PC) · No. No. 2:24-cv-01195-EFB (PC) · Decided April 28, 2025

SUMMARY

The court recommends dismissing Akika Parker’s action without prejudice because she failed to comply with an order requiring a completed in forma pauperis application and trust account statement or an amended complaint. The document also orders random assignment of a district judge and advises that objections to the findings and recommendations may be filed within fourteen days.

OPINION

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA 10 11 AKIKA PARKER, No. 2:24-cv-01195-EFB (PC) 12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER
AND FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS 14 SACRAMENTO, et al., 15 Defendants. 16
17 By order filed February 11, 2025, the court ordered plaintiff to file a completed in forma 18
pauperis application and trust account statement or an amended complaint clarifying that plaintiff
19 is not currently detained as a result of being accused of, convicted of, or sentenced for a
criminal 20 offense.

ECF No. 6. The thirty-day period provided by the court has now expired, and plaintiff 21 has not
responded to the court’s order. 22 Although it appears from the file that plaintiff’s copy of the
order was returned, plaintiff 23 was properly served. It is the plaintiff’s responsibility to keep the
court apprised of a current 24 address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of
documents at the record address of 25 the party is fully effective.

26 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a 27 district
judge to this action. IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed 28 without
prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).] These findings and recommendations are
submitted to the United States District Judge 2 || assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of
28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1).

Within fourteen days 3 || after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff
may file written objections 4 || with the court. The document should be captioned “Objections to
Magistrate Judge’s Findings 5 || and Recommendations.” Plaintiff is advised that failure to file
objections within the specified 6 || time may waive the right to appeal the District Court’s order.

Martinez v. Yist, 951 F.2d 1153 7 | (th Cir. 1991). | Dated: April 28, 2025 9 EDMUND F.
BRENNAN 10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22
23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-cal/2025/akika-parker-v-sacramento-et-al-m0wwgm5c>