

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Akika Parker v. Sacramento, et al.

No. 2:24-cv-01195-EFB (PC) · No. No. 2:24-cv-01195-EFB (PC) · Decided April 28, 2025

OPINION

(PC) Parker v. Sacramento

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 AKIKA PARKER, No. 2:24-cv-01195-EFB (PC)

12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND

RECOMMENDATIONS

14 SACRAMENTO, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 By order filed February 11, 2025, the court
ordered plaintiff to file a completed in forma 18 pauperis application and trust account statement
or an amended complaint clarifying that plaintiff 19 is not currently detained as a result of being
accused of, convicted of, or sentenced for a criminal 20 offense. ECF No. 6. The thirty-day period
provided by the court has now expired, and plaintiff 21 has not responded to the court's order. 22
Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the order was returned, plaintiff 23 was
properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to keep the court apprised of a current 24
address at all times. Pursuant to Local Rule 182(f), service of documents at the record address of
25 the party is fully effective. 26 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court
randomly assign a 27 district judge to this action. IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this
action be dismissed 28 without prejudice. See Local Rule 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b).] These
findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 2 || assigned to
the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 3 || after being
served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections 4 || with the
court. The document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings 5 || and
Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified 6 || time
may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Yist, 951 F.2d 1153 7 | (th Cir.
1991). | Dated: April 28, 2025

9 EDMUND F. BRENNAN

10 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/akika-parker-v-sacramento-et-al-m0wwgm5c>