

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Franklin v. City of Kingsburg

Franklin · No. 1:18-cv-00824-SKO · Decided May 15, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Eastern District of California ordered the Clerk of Court to close the case after the remaining parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action. The action was dismissed with prejudice under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Sheila K. Oberto
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the Eastern District of California
DECIDED	May 15, 2025
DOCKET	1:18-cv-00824-SKO
DISPOSITION	dismissed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The remaining parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action, and the court directed the Clerk of Court to close the case.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unknown

TOPICS

civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

civil procedure

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether the parties' joint stipulation terminated the action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).
- Whether the case should be dismissed with prejudice and closed.

HOLDINGS

1. The parties' joint stipulation dismissed and terminated the action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii).
2. The action was dismissed with prejudice, and the Clerk of Court was directed to close the case.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion contains no substantive underlying facts. The remaining parties jointly stipulated to dismissal of the action on May 14, 2025.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The action was pending in the Eastern District of California. On May 14, 2025, the remaining parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 41(a)(1)(A)(ii). The court determined that the action had been terminated and dismissed it with prejudice, then directed the Clerk to close the case.

DISPOSITION

dismissed

CASES CITED

- Wilson v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997)

OPINION

Franklin v. City of Kingsburg

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 VERNON FRANKLIN, No. 1:18-cv-00824-SKO

12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER DIRECTING THE CLERK OF

COURT TO CLOSE THE CASE

14 CITY OF KINGSBURG, et al., (Doc. 85) 15 Defendant. 16 17 On May 14, 2025, the
remaining parties filed a joint stipulation dismissing the action. 18 (Doc. 85.) In light of the
parties' stipulation, this action has been terminated, see Fed. R. Civ. P. 19 41(a)(1)(A)(ii); Wilson
v. City of San Jose, 111 F.3d 688, 692 (9th Cir. 1997), and has been 20 dismissed with prejudice.
Accordingly, the Clerk of Court is directed to close this case. 21 22 IT IS SO ORDERED. 23

Dated: May 15, 2025 /s/ Sheila K. Oberto .

24 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

25 26 27 28

