

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF  
CALIFORNIA

**Jayson Thorn v. Sac Jail**

Thorn v. Sac Jail · No. 2:23-cv-0069-CKD P · Decided March 8, 2023

---

OPINION

(PC) Thorn v. Sac Jail

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 JAYSON THORN, No. 2:23-cv-0069-CKD P 12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER AND

14 SAC JAIL, FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

15 Defendant. 16

By an order filed January 18, 2023, plaintiff was ordered to file a completed in forma 17 pauperis application within thirty days and was cautioned that failure to do so would result in a 18 recommendation that this action be dismissed. The thirty day period has now expired, and 19 plaintiff has not responded to the court's order. 20 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign this 21 matter to a district court judge. 22 IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that this action be dismissed without prejudice. See 23 Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 24 These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 25 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 26 after being served with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 27 objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 28 1 | "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Any response to the 2 || objections shall be served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The 3 || parties are advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to 4 | appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 5 | Dated: March 7, 2023 Card ft 4 LA g. ae

6 CAROLYN K DELANEY?

7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 9 10 11] 12 13 12/thor0069.fifp.docx 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28