

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
MISSOURI, EASTERN DIVISION

Alexander v. Hill-Kearse, et al.

Alexander · No. 4:26-cv-0096-MTS · Decided March 3, 2026

OPINION

Alexander

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

EASTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI

EASTERN DIVISION

JOSEPH ALEXANDER,)

) Plaintiff,)) vs.) Case No. 4:26-cv-0096-MTS) DAWN HILL-KEARSE, et al.,)) Defendants.)

MEMORANDUM OPINION

A review of the single-page Complaint filed in this action shows that Plaintiff has not established—or even seriously attempted to establish—this Court’s subject- matter jurisdiction. For that reason, the Court, sua sponte, will dismiss this action without prejudice. Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(h)(3); see also *Carver v. Atwood*, 18 F.4th 494, 497 (5th Cir. 2021) (“sua sponte dismissal is mandatory when a court discovers that it lacks subject-matter jurisdiction”). The Court will not provide an opportunity for Plaintiff to amend the Complaint for two reasons. First, this case is malicious in that it is identical to cases filed by this Plaintiff in United States District Courts across the Country.* Second, mail sent to the address Plaintiff provided this Court (and the other courts) comes back as undeliverable. * See, e.g., *Alexander v. Hill-Kearse*, 3:26-cv-0057-WMC (W.D. Wis.); *Alexander v. Hill- Kearse*, 4:26-cv-0115-LPR (E.D. Ark.); *Alexander v. Hill-Kearse*, 5:26-cv-0035-CAR (M.D. Ga.). See also *Alexander v. Hill-Kearse*, 5:26-cv-0113-SLP, ECF No. 4 (W.D. Okla. Jan. 29, 2026) (sua sponte dismissing action for lack of subject-matter jurisdiction). An appropriate Order of Dismissal will be entered herewith. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 58(a). Dated this 3rd day of March 2026. Th adrtneW T. SCHELP

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

-2-