

COURT OF APPEALS OF GEORGIA

Cameron v. Cox

162 Ga. App. 268 (Ga. Ct. App. 1982) · Decided May 5, 1982

SUMMARY

In this landlord-tenant dispute, the Georgia Court of Appeals affirmed a directed verdict for the landlord and an award of attorney fees and expenses based on the tenant’s failure to admit matters later proven at trial. The court held that, because the appellant failed to provide a transcript, it had to assume the trial court’s findings were supported by competent evidence, and it declined to impose 10% damages for a frivolous or delay-only appeal.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Court of Appeals of Georgia
WRITING FOR THE COURT	McMurray, Presiding Judge; Banke, Judge; Birdsong, Judge
JURISDICTION	Georgia
DECIDED	May 5, 1982
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	In a landlord-tenant action for back rent and damages, the trial court directed a verdict for the landlord and awarded attorney fees and expenses under the Georgia Civil Practice Act's requests-for-admissions provisions. The tenant appealed without including a transcript of the evidence or proceedings.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	When an appellant's enumerations of error require review of the evidence and proceedings but the transcript is not included in the record, the appellate court assumes that the trial court's findings are supported by competent evidence and affirms.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published precedential opinion
PARTIES	Cameron v. Cox

TOPICS

- landlord tenant
- attorney fees
- appellate procedure
- standard of review
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the trial court improperly converted a motion for summary judgment hearing into a jury trial.
2. Whether the trial court erred in awarding the landlord attorney fees and expenses under Code Ann. § 81A-137(c) for the tenant's failure to admit matters later proved.
3. Whether the landlord was entitled to 10 percent damages under Code § 6-1801 because the appeal was taken for delay only.

HOLDINGS

1. Because the appellant did not include the transcript even though the enumerations of error required review of the evidence and proceedings, the court presumed that the trial court's findings were supported by competent evidence and affirmed.
2. The challenge to the attorney-fee and expense award was not meritorious, and the judgment awarding those amounts was affirmed.
3. The court declined to impose 10 percent damages because it was not satisfied that the pro se appeal was taken solely for delay.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“We, therefore, assume the trial court’s findings are supported by competent evidence and must affirm the judgment.” (162 Ga. App. at 269)

“Nevertheless, we are not satisfied the appeal was sought for delay only by this pro se appellant.” (162 Ga. App. at 269)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The landlord brought a claim for back rent and damages arising from a lease. The tenant admitted the lease but denied liability for repair costs, denied that no damage deposit had been paid, and denied that one month's rent remained due. After a jury was empaneled, the landlord presented evidence, and the tenant presented none; the court directed a verdict for the landlord and later awarded attorney fees and expenses based on the tenant's failure to admit matters that the landlord proved.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The landlord sought \$519.04 for back rent and damages and obtained a directed verdict after presenting evidence at trial. The trial court also awarded \$200 in attorney fees and \$40 in expenses based on the tenant's failure to admit matters later proved by the landlord. The tenant appealed, arguing that the court improperly converted a summary-judgment hearing into a jury trial and improperly awarded attorney fees; the landlord sought 10 percent damages for a frivolous or delay-only appeal.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

CASES CITED

- Willadsen v. Willadsen, 230 Ga. 607 (198 SE2d 318)
- Shoemake v. Chappell, 238 Ga. 621 (234 SE2d 531)
- Curry v. State, 148 Ga. App. 59 (251 SE2d 86)
- Marques v. Myers, 148 Ga. App. 881 (253 SE2d 262)
- Estralita Lamps v. Marietta Industrial Assn., 80 Ga. App. 196, 199 (55 SE2d 822)
- U. S. Fidelity & Co. v. Blankenship Plumbing Co., 153 Ga. App. 335, 337 (3) (265 SE2d 66)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/georgia-court-of-appeals-of-georgia/1982/cameron-v-cox-m923abo0>