

APPELLATE DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, FIRST DEPARTMENT

People v. McDonald

McDonald, 2026 NY Slip Op 00850 (Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York First Department 2026) · No. Ind. No. 72250/22; Appeal No. 5835; Case No. 2024-06240 · Decided February 17, 2026

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, First Department unanimously affirmed the judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered June 11, 2024, in the criminal case against Louis McDonald. The court concluded that the sentence was not excessive.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, First Department
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Moulton, J.P.; Friedman, J.; González, J.; O'Neill Levy, J.; Chan, J.
JURISDICTION	New York Appellate Division, First Department
DECIDED	February 17, 2026
DOCKET	Ind. No. 72250/22; Appeal No. 5835; Case No. 2024-06240
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Defendant appealed from a judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered June 11, 2024. The Appellate Division affirmed after finding the sentence not excessive.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	published
PARTIES	Louis McDonald, Defendant-Appellant v. The People of the State of New York, Respondent

TOPICS

sentencing

appellate procedure

criminal procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

criminal law

criminal appellate procedure

sentencing

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the sentence imposed by the Supreme Court, Bronx County, was excessive.

HOLDINGS

1. The sentence was not excessive, and the judgment was affirmed.

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion does not describe the underlying criminal conduct or other material facts. It identifies the appeal as arising from a Supreme Court, Bronx County judgment and addresses only the excessiveness of the sentence.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Louis McDonald appealed a judgment of the Supreme Court, Bronx County, rendered by Justice Timothy W. Lewis on June 11, 2024. The First Department heard the appeal and unanimously affirmed the judgment, concluding that the sentence was not excessive.

DISPOSITION

affirmed