

APPELLATE DIVISION OF THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, THIRD DEPARTMENT

People v. Bonser

Bonser, 2025 NY Slip Op 06932 (Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York Third Department 2025) · No. CR-23-2006 · Decided December 11, 2025

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, Third Department affirmed Matthew R. Bonser's convictions for attempted murder in the second degree, burglary in the first degree, and assault in the second degree following his guilty plea. The court held that Bonser's waiver of his right to appeal was knowing, voluntary, and intelligent, and therefore foreclosed his challenge to the severity of his sentence.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Appellate Division of the Supreme Court of the State of New York, Third Department
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Garry, P.J.; Clark, J.; Reynolds Fitzgerald, J.; Mackey, J.; Corcoran, J.
JURISDICTION	New York Supreme Court, Appellate Division, Third Department
DECIDED	December 11, 2025
DOCKET	CR-23-2006
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Defendant appealed from a County Court judgment convicting him upon his guilty plea and sentencing him pursuant to a plea agreement that included a waiver of the right to appeal.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published
PARTIES	Matthew R. Bonser v. The People of the State of New York

TOPICS

- plea bargaining
- appellate procedure
- sentencing
- criminal procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- criminal procedure
- criminal appellate practice
- plea agreements
- sentencing

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether defendant's waiver of his right to appeal was knowing, voluntary, and intelligent despite overbroad language in the written waiver.
2. Whether defendant's challenge to the perceived severity of his sentence was foreclosed by the valid appeal waiver.

HOLDINGS

1. The defendant's waiver of his right to appeal was knowing, voluntary, and intelligent.
2. Because the appeal waiver was valid, defendant's challenge to the perceived severity of his sentence was foreclosed.

KEY QUOTATIONS

*“Upon our review of the oral colloquy and written waiver, we are satisfied that defendant's appeal waiver was knowing, voluntary and intelligent” (*1)*

*“Given the valid appeal waiver, defendant's challenge to the perceived severity of the sentence imposed is foreclosed” (*1)*

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

In satisfaction of a 16-count indictment, Matthew R. Bonser pleaded guilty to attempted murder in the second degree, burglary in the first degree, and assault in the second degree. As part of the plea agreement, he agreed to waive his right to appeal. County Court explained the waiver during the plea colloquy, and Bonser also executed a written waiver that he stated he had reviewed with counsel and understood.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Defendant pleaded guilty, in satisfaction of a 16-count indictment, to attempted murder in the second degree, burglary in the first degree, and assault in the second degree. County Court sentenced him to concurrent 20-year prison terms followed by five years of postrelease supervision on the attempted murder and burglary convictions, and to a lesser concurrent term on the assault conviction. Defendant appealed, challenging the validity of his appeal waiver and the severity of his sentence.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

CASES CITED

- People v Sheehan, ___ AD3d ___, ___, 2025 NY Slip Op 05277, *2 [3d Dept 2025]
- People v Gonzalez, 234 AD3d 1186, 1186-1187 [3d Dept 2025]
- People v Morse, 230 AD3d 1471, 1472 [3d Dept 2024]
- People v Murauskas, 240 AD3d 1007, 1009 [3d Dept 2025]
- People v Rowe, 239 AD3d 1202, 1202 [3d Dept 2025]

