

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Marquise Bailey v. Melo Bernaro, et al.

No. 2:24-cv-11213-FMO-MAR, United States District Court for the Central District of California (March 21,
2025)

OPINION

Marquise Bailey v. Melo Bernaro

PER CURIAM.

3 4 5 6 7

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

8 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

9 MARQUISE BAILEY CASE NO: 10 2:24-cv-11213-FMO-MAR

Plaintiff(s), 11 v.

ORDER DISMISSING ACTION

12 MELO BERNARO , et al. WITHOUT PREJUDICE

13 14 Defendant(s). 15 16 17 Having been advised by counsel that the above-entitled action has
been settled, 18 IT IS ORDERED that the above-captioned action is hereby dismissed without
costs 19 and without prejudice to the right, upon good cause shown within 30, to re-open the 20
action if settlement is not consummated. The court retains full jurisdiction 21 over this action and
this Order shall not prejudice any party to this action. Failure 22 to re-open or seek an extension of
time to re-open the action by the deadline 23 set forth above shall be deemed as consent by the
parties to dismissal of the 24 action without prejudice. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); Link v. Wabash
R.R. Co., 25 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962). 26 27 Dated The 21st of March
2025 /s/