

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
TEXAS, MCALLEN DIVISION**

**David Abisai Reyes-Medrano v. Juan Manuel Garza, City of Pharr,
Jose Andrade, and Laura Renteria**

Reyes-Medrano v. Garza · No. Civil Action No. 7:25-CV-00451 · Decided April 11, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Southern District of Texas accepted and adopted the magistrate judge's Memorandum and Recommendation after no party objected. The court dismissed the plaintiff's complaint with prejudice as frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915.

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT April 13, 2026 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
Nathan Ochsner, Clerk MCALLEN DIVISION DAVID ABISAI REYES-MEDRANO, § §
Plaintiff, § § v. § Civil Action No. 7:25-CV-00451 § JUAN MANUEL GARZA, § CITY OF
PHARR, JOSE ANDRADE, and § LAURA RENTERIA, § § Defendants. § ORDER
ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION OF THE UNITED
STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE Pending before the Court is the March 6, 2026, Memorandum
and Recommendation (“M&R”) prepared by Magistrate Judge Juan F. Alanis.

(Dkt. No. 6). Judge Alanis made findings and conclusions and recommended that Plaintiff’s
Complaint, (Dkt. No. 2), be dismissed, (Dkt. No. 6). The Parties were provided proper notice and
the opportunity to object to the M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No party
filed an objection.

As a result, review is straightforward: plain error. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308
(5th Cir. 2005). No plain error appears. Accordingly, the Court accepts the M&R and adopts it as
the opinion of the Court. It is therefore ordered that: (1) Judge Alanis’s M&R, (Dkt. No. 6), is
ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in its entirety as the holding of the Court; and (2) Plaintiff’s
Complaint, (Dkt.

No. 2), is DISMISSED with prejudice as frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. It is SO ORDERED.
Signed on April 11, 2026. DREW B. TIPTON UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE