

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF
TEXAS, MCALLEN DIVISION**

**David Abisai Reyes-Medrano v. Juan Manuel Garza, City of Pharr,
Jose Andrade, and Laura Renteria**

Reyes-Medrano v. Garza · No. Civil Action No. 7:25-CV-00451 · Decided April 11, 2026

OPINION

Garza

PER CURIAM.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT April 13, 2026

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS Nathan Ochsner, Clerk

MCALLEN DIVISION

DAVID ABISAI REYES-MEDRANO, §

§ Plaintiff, § § v. § Civil Action No. 7:25-CV-00451 §

JUAN MANUEL GARZA, §

CITY OF PHARR, JOSE ANDRADE, and §

LAURA RENTERIA, §

§ Defendants. §

ORDER ACCEPTING FINDINGS, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATION

OF THE UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

Pending before the Court is the March 6, 2026, Memorandum and Recommendation (“M&R”) prepared by Magistrate Judge Juan F. Alanis. (Dkt. No. 6). Judge Alanis made findings and conclusions and recommended that Plaintiff’s Complaint, (Dkt. No. 2), be dismissed, (Dkt. No. 6). The Parties were provided proper notice and the opportunity to object to the M&R. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). No party filed an objection. As a result, review is straightforward: plain error. *Guillory v. PPG Indus., Inc.*, 434 F.3d 303, 308 (5th Cir. 2005). No plain error appears. Accordingly, the Court accepts the M&R and adopts it as the opinion of the Court. It is therefore ordered that:

(1) Judge Alanis’s M&R, (Dkt. No. 6), is ACCEPTED and ADOPTED in its entirety as the holding of the Court; and

(2) Plaintiff’s Complaint, (Dkt. No. 2), is DISMISSED with prejudice as frivolous under 28 U.S.C. § 1915. It is SO ORDERED. Signed on April 11, 2026.

DREW B. TIPTON

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

