

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Michael Painter v. Green Dot Bank

Painter v. Green Dot Bank · No. 2:25-cv-09442-AB (PDx) · Decided December 16, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California ordered Plaintiff Michael Painter to show cause why the action against Green Dot Bank should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. The court noted that Defendant had not answered and directed Plaintiff to seek entry of default under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 55(a) or dismiss the complaint by December 23, 2025.

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL Case No.: 2:25-cv-09442-AB (PDx) Date: December 16, 2025 Title: Michael Painter v. Green Dot Bank Present: The Honorable ANDRÉ BIROTTE JR., United States District Judge Evelyn Chun N/A Deputy Clerk Court Reporter Attorney(s) Present for Plaintiff(s): Attorney(s) Present for Defendant(s): None Appearing None Appearing Proceedings: [In Chambers] Order To Show Cause Re: Dismissal for Lack of Prosecution Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause why this case should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution.

Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion). The below time period(s) has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff to show cause, in writing, on or before December 23, 2025, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. This matter will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff's response.

See Fed. R. Civ. P. 78. Failure to respond will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the action. ☒ Defendant Green Dot Bank did not answer the complaint, yet Plaintiff has failed to request entry of default, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Plaintiff can satisfy this order by seeking entry of default or by dismissing the complaint. IT IS SO ORDERED.