

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Miguel Hernandez v. FDW Corp.

No. CV 25-01973-SK, United States District Court for the Central District of California (April 23, 2025)

OPINION

Miguel Hernandez v. FDW Corp.

PER CURIAM.

CIVIL MINUTES – GENERAL

Case No. CV 25-01973-SK Date: April 23, 2025 Title Miguel Hernandez v. FDW Corp. et al
Present: The Honorable: Steve Kim, United States Magistrate Judge Connie Chung n/a Deputy
Clerk Court Reporter / Recorder Attorneys Present for Plaintiff(s): Attorneys Present for
Defendant(s): None present None present Proceedings: (IN CHAMBERS) ORDER TO SHOW
CAUSE RE: DISMISSAL
FOR LACK OF PROSECUTION

Plaintiff is ORDERED to show cause why this case should not be dismissed as to Defendant FDW Corp. only for lack of prosecution. Link v. Wabash R. Co., 370 U.S. 626 (1962) (Court has inherent power to dismiss for lack of prosecution on its own motion).

In the present case, it appears that the below time period has not been met. Accordingly, the Court, on its own motion, orders Plaintiff to show cause, in writing, on or before May 7, 2025, why the indicated defendant should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Pursuant to Rule 78 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument. The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of Plaintiff's response. Failure to respond to this Order to Show Cause will be deemed consent to the dismissal of the indicated defendant. □ Defendant FDW Corp. did not answer the complaint, yet Plaintiff has failed to request entry of default, pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a). Plaintiff can satisfy this order by seeking entry of default or by dismissing the indicated Defendant. IT IS SO ORDERED.