

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Nasiri v. Pfizer, Inc.

Nasiri · No. 2:24-cv-2111-KJM-CKD (PS) · Decided May 16, 2025

OPINION

(PS) Nasiri v. Pfizer, Inc.

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10

11 ZABIULLAH NASIRI. No. 2:24-cv-2111-KJM-CKD (PS)

12 Plaintiff, 13 v. ORDER

14 PFIZER, INC.,

15 Defendant. 16

17 18 This matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge under Local Rule 302(c)(21).
19 On April 17, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which contained
20 notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen
21 days. Plaintiff has not objected to the findings and recommendations. 22 The court presumes
that any findings of fact are correct. See *Orand v. United States*, 23 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir.
1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed 24 de novo. See *Robbins v. Carey*,
481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law 25 by the magistrate judge are
reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court 26 . . .”). Having reviewed
the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be 27 supported by the record and by
the proper analysis. 28 /////] Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 2 1. The findings and
recommendations filed April 7, 2025 (ECF No. 6), are adopted in 3 full. 4 2. This action is
dismissed for failure to prosecute. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b). 5 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to
close this case. 6 || DATED: May 15, 2025. 8 = | ¥ FU/ Fees

5 STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

10 1] 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28