

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Leroy Veal v. CAL/PIA Meat Plant Supervisor

Veal v. CAL/PIA Meat Plant Supervisor, No. 2:24-cv-01490-EFB (PC) (E.D. Cal. July 17, 2025) · No. 2:24-cv-01490-EFB (PC) · Decided July 17, 2025

OPINION

(PC) Veal v. CAL/PIA Meat Plant

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 LEROY VEAL, No. 2:24-cv-01490-EFB (PC) 12 Plaintiff,

13 v. ORDER AND FINDINGS AND

RECOMMENDATIONS

14 CAL/PIA MEAT PLANT SUPERVISOR,

15 Defendant. 16

17 Plaintiff, a state inmate, proceeds without counsel in an action brought under 42 U.S.C. § 18
1983. This proceeding was referred to this court by Local Rule 302 pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 19
636(b)(1). 20 On May 13, 2025, the court screened plaintiff’s complaint pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
1915A. 21 ECF No. 8. The court dismissed the complaint with leave to amend within 30 days for
failure to 22 state a claim. Id. The screening order warned plaintiff that failure to comply could
result in a 23 recommendation that this action be dismissed. 24 The time for acting has now
passed and plaintiff has not filed an amended complaint or 25 otherwise responded to the court’s
order. Thus, it appears that plaintiff is unable or unwilling to 26 cure the defects in the complaint.
27 //// 28 ////] Accordingly, it is ORDERED that the Clerk of Court randomly assign a district
judge to 2 || this action. It is further RECOMMENDED that this action be DISMISSED without
prejudice for 3 || the reasons stated in the May 13, 2025 screening order. 4 These findings and
recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge 5 || assigned to the case,
pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). Within fourteen days 6 || after being served
with these findings and recommendations, any party may file written 7 || objections with the court
and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned 8 | “Objections to
Magistrate Judge’s Findings and Recommendations.” Any response to the 9 || objections shall be
served and filed within fourteen days after service of the objections. The 10 || parties are advised
that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to 11 || appeal the
District Court’s order. Turner v. Duncan, 158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez 12 | v. Yist,
951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991). 13 14 | Dated: July 17, 2025 Za! ibttic > DE 44

EDMUND F. BRENNAN

15 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/united-states-district-court-for-the-eastern-district-of-california-united-states-district-court-for/2025/leroy-veal-v-cal-pia-meat-plant-supervisor-n72id53l>