

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

Kody Lee Broxton v. The State of Texas

Nos. 03-15-00040-CR, 03-15-00041-CR, and 03-15-00042-CR · No. Nos. 03-15-00040-CR, 03-15-00041-CR, and 03-15-00042-CR · Decided July 15, 2015

SUMMARY

This document contains three per curiam judgments from the Texas Court of Appeals, Third District, involving Kody Lee Broxton’s appeals from convictions in Bell County. In each appeal, the court found no reversible error and affirmed the trial court’s judgment of conviction. The court made no adjudication of costs because Broxton was indigent and unable to pay.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Texas Court of Appeals, Third District, at Austin
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Justice Puryear; Justice Pemberton; Justice Bourland
JURISDICTION	Texas
DECIDED	July 15, 2015
DOCKET	Nos. 03-15-00040-CR, 03-15-00041-CR, and 03-15-00042-CR
DISPOSITION	affirmed
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Appeal from judgments of conviction entered by the 264th District Court of Bell County.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published intermediate appellate opinion; no reporter citation is provided in the source text.
PARTIES	Kody Lee Broxton v. The State of Texas

TOPICS

[criminal procedure](#) [appellate procedure](#) [standard of review](#)

PRACTICE AREAS

[criminal law](#) [appellate law](#)

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the trial court's judgments of conviction contained reversible error.

HOLDINGS

1. There was no reversible error in the trial court's judgments of conviction.
2. No adjudication of costs was made because the appellant was indigent and unable to pay them.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Having reviewed the record and the parties’ briefs, the Court holds that there was no reversible error in the trial court’s judgment.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion provides no substantive facts underlying the convictions. It states only that the appeals challenged judgments of conviction entered by the trial court.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Broxton appealed judgments of conviction in three criminal cases from the 264th District Court of Bell County. After reviewing the record and the parties' briefs, the Court of Appeals found no reversible error and affirmed each judgment. Because Broxton was indigent and unable to pay costs, the court made no adjudication of costs.

DISPOSITION

affirmed

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN JUDGMENT RENDERED JULY 15, 2015 NO. 03-15-00040-CR Kody Lee Broxton, Appellant v. The State of Texas, Appellee APPEAL FROM 264TH DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY BEFORE JUSTICES PURYEAR, PEMBERTON, AND BOURLAND AFFIRMED -- OPINION BY JUSTICE PURYEAR This is an appeal from the judgment of conviction entered by the trial court.

Having reviewed the record and the parties’ briefs, the Court holds that there was no reversible error in the trial court’s judgment. Therefore, the Court affirms the trial court’s judgment of conviction. Because appellant is indigent and unable to pay costs, no adjudication of costs is made. TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN JUDGMENT RENDERED JULY 15, 2015 NO. 03-15-00041-CR Kody Lee Broxton, Appellant v. The State of Texas, Appellee APPEAL FROM 264TH DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY BEFORE JUSTICES PURYEAR, PEMBERTON, AND BOURLAND AFFIRMED -- OPINION BY JUSTICE PURYEAR This is an appeal from the judgment of conviction entered by the trial court.

Having reviewed the record and the parties' briefs, the Court holds that there was no reversible error in the trial court's judgment. Therefore, the Court affirms the trial court's judgment of conviction. Because appellant is indigent and unable to pay costs, no adjudication of costs is made. TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN JUDGMENT RENDERED JULY 15, 2015 NO. 03-15-00042-CR Kody Lee Broxton, Appellant v. The State of Texas, Appellee APPEAL FROM 264TH DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY BEFORE JUSTICES PURYEAR, PEMBERTON, AND BOURLAND AFFIRMED -- OPINION BY JUSTICE PURYEAR This is an appeal from the judgment of conviction entered by the trial court.

Having reviewed the record and the parties' briefs, the Court holds that there was no reversible error in the trial court's judgment. Therefore, the Court affirms the trial court's judgment of conviction. Because appellant is indigent and unable to pay costs, no adjudication of costs is made.