

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN

Kody Lee Broxton v. The State of Texas

Nos. 03-15-00040-CR, 03-15-00041-CR, and 03-15-00042-CR · No. Nos. 03-15-00040-CR, 03-15-00041-CR,
and 03-15-00042-CR · Decided July 15, 2015

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN JUDGMENT RENDERED JULY 15, 2015 NO. 03-15-00040-CR Kody Lee Broxton, Appellant v. The State of Texas, Appellee APPEAL FROM 264TH DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY BEFORE JUSTICES PURYEAR, PEMBERTON, AND BOURLAND AFFIRMED -- OPINION BY JUSTICE PURYEAR This is an appeal from the judgment of conviction entered by the trial court.

Having reviewed the record and the parties' briefs, the Court holds that there was no reversible error in the trial court's judgment. Therefore, the Court affirms the trial court's judgment of conviction. Because appellant is indigent and unable to pay costs, no adjudication of costs is made. TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN JUDGMENT RENDERED JULY 15, 2015 NO. 03-15-00041-CR Kody Lee Broxton, Appellant v. The State of Texas, Appellee APPEAL FROM 264TH DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY BEFORE JUSTICES PURYEAR, PEMBERTON, AND BOURLAND AFFIRMED -- OPINION BY JUSTICE PURYEAR This is an appeal from the judgment of conviction entered by the trial court.

Having reviewed the record and the parties' briefs, the Court holds that there was no reversible error in the trial court's judgment. Therefore, the Court affirms the trial court's judgment of conviction. Because appellant is indigent and unable to pay costs, no adjudication of costs is made. TEXAS COURT OF APPEALS, THIRD DISTRICT, AT AUSTIN JUDGMENT RENDERED JULY 15, 2015 NO. 03-15-00042-CR Kody Lee Broxton, Appellant v. The State of Texas, Appellee APPEAL FROM 264TH DISTRICT COURT OF BELL COUNTY BEFORE JUSTICES PURYEAR, PEMBERTON, AND BOURLAND AFFIRMED -- OPINION BY JUSTICE PURYEAR This is an appeal from the judgment of conviction entered by the trial court.

Having reviewed the record and the parties' briefs, the Court holds that there was no reversible error in the trial court's judgment. Therefore, the Court affirms the trial court's judgment of conviction. Because appellant is indigent and unable to pay costs, no adjudication of costs is made.