

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,  
THIRD DEPARTMENT

Dolson v. Mitts

99 A.D.3d 1079 (N.Y. App. Div. 2012) · Decided October 18, 2012

SUMMARY

The court reversed a Family Court order granting the mother sole legal custody for the limited purpose of obtaining the parties’ child’s passport. It held that the father was denied due process when Family Court refused to adjourn the proceeding so he could obtain counsel, and remitted the matter for further proceedings. The court also held that the appeal was not moot because the parties’ rights concerning possession and use of the passport remained affected.

CASE DETAILS

|                       |                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|-----------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| COURT                 | Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Third Department                                                                                                              |
| WRITING FOR THE COURT | Mercure, J.P.; Malone Jr., J.; Garry, J.; Egan Jr., J.                                                                                                                                    |
| JURISDICTION          | New York                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| DECIDED               | October 18, 2012                                                                                                                                                                          |
| DISPOSITION           | reversed_and_remanded                                                                                                                                                                     |
| PROCEDURAL POSTURE    | The father appealed from a Family Court order granting the mother's petition to modify custody and awarding her sole legal custody for the purpose of obtaining a passport for the child. |
| STANDARD OF REVIEW    | Review of the Family Court order for errors of law; deprivation of the fundamental right to counsel required reversal without regard to the merits.                                       |
| PRECEDENTIAL VALUE    | published                                                                                                                                                                                 |
| PARTIES               | Mitts v. Dolson                                                                                                                                                                           |

TOPICS

- child custody
- family law procedure
- due process
- appellate procedure
- mootness

PRACTICE AREAS

- family law
- civil procedure
- appellate procedure
- constitutional law

## QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether Family Court violated the father's right to counsel and due process by refusing to adjourn the custody proceeding after he requested an attorney and then granting the mother's petition without counsel.
2. Whether the appeal became moot after the child was issued a passport and used it for foreign travel.

## HOLDINGS

1. Family Court violated the father's fundamental right to counsel by refusing to adjourn the custody proceeding after he requested an attorney and then granting the mother's petition without affording him counsel.
2. The appeal was not moot because the parties' rights remained affected by the appellate determination concerning possession and control of the child's passport.

## KEY QUOTATIONS

*“The deprivation of a party’s fundamental right to counsel is a denial of due process and requires reversal, without regard to the merits of the unrepresented party’s position” (1080)*

## FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The parties shared joint legal custody of their child, while the mother had primary physical custody. The mother sought authority to obtain the child's passport after the father refused to sign the application or consent form. Although Family Court advised the father of his right to counsel, his right to an adjournment to consult counsel, and his potential right to assigned counsel, the court refused to adjourn after he expressed confusion and requested an attorney. The child was later issued a passport and used it for a family vacation with the mother, but the parties continued to dispute control and possession of the passport.

## PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The parties' judgment of divorce incorporated a custody order providing for joint legal custody and the mother's primary physical custody. After the father refused to consent to the child's passport application, the mother sought a custody modification. Family Court granted the petition without an evidentiary hearing and without adjourning so the father could obtain counsel. The Appellate Division reversed and remitted for further proceedings.

## DISPOSITION

reversed\_and\_remanded

## REMAND INSTRUCTIONS

The matter was remitted to the Family Court of Albany County for further proceedings not inconsistent with the Appellate Division's decision.

## CASES CITED

- Matter of Wilson v. Bennett, 282 A.D.2d 933, 934 (2001)
- Matter of Deon M. [Vernon B.], 68 A.D.3d 1740, 1741 (2009)
- Matter of Scala v. Tefft, 42 A.D.3d 689, 691-692 (2007)
- Matter of Williams v. Bentley, 26 A.D.3d 441, 442 (2006)
- Matter of Hearst Corp. v. Clyne, 50 N.Y.2d 707, 714 (1980)
- Matter of Awan v. Awan, 75 A.D.3d 597, 598 (2010)

---

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/new-york-supreme-court-of-the-state-of-new-york-appellate-division-third-department/2012/dolson-v-mitts-nhyspfve>