

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK, APPELLATE DIVISION,
SECOND JUDICIAL DEPARTMENT

Matter of American Tr. Ins. Co. v. Sovereign Med. Servs., P.C.

2026 NY Slip Op 03160 (N.Y. Ct. App. 2026) · No. 2024-03979 · Decided May 20, 2026

SUMMARY

The Appellate Division, Second Department, reversed a clerk's judgment that had vacated a master arbitration award in favor of Sovereign Medical Services, P.C. The court held that the master arbitrator's determination applying collateral estoppel was rational, supported by evidence, and not subject to vacatur. The matter was remitted to the Supreme Court, Kings County, to determine claims for attorney's fees, other fees, costs, and disbursements.

OPINION

Matter of American Tr. Ins. Co. v. Sovereign Med. Servs., P.C. 2026 NY Slip Op 03160
PER CURIAM.

Matter of American Tr. Ins. Co. v Sovereign Med. Servs., P.C. - 2026 NY Slip Op 03160

skip to main content

It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website.

![NYS Logo](/reporter/images/nys-logo-white.svg)

Law Reporting BureauThomas J.K. Smith, State ReporterCourt Decisions Resources About

![LRB Seal](/reporter/images/lrb-seal.png)

- Home
- All Court Decisions
- Decisions

Matter of American Tr. Ins. Co. v Sovereign Med. Servs., P.C.

2026 NY Slip Op 03160

May 20, 2026

Appellate Division, Second Department

Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431.

This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports.

In the Matter of American Transit Insurance Company, respondent,

v

Sovereign Medical Services, P.C., etc., appellant.

Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department

Decided on May 20, 2026

2024-03979, (Index No. 514751/22)

Betsy Barros, J.P.

Paul Wooten

Janice A. Taylor

James P. McCormack, JJ.

Roman Kravchenko, Garden City, NY, for appellant.

Short & Billy, P.C., New York, NY (Seok Ho [Richard] Kang of counsel), for respondent.

DECISION & ORDER

In a proceeding pursuant to CPLR article 75 to vacate a master arbitration award dated March 25, 2022, Sovereign Medical Services, P.C., appeals from a

clerk's judgment of the Supreme Court, Kings County, entered April 18, 2024. The clerk's judgment, upon an order of the same court (Robin S. Garson, J.) dated July 6, 2023, granting the petition to vacate the master arbitration award and denying the cross-petition, inter alia, to confirm the master arbitration award, granted the same relief to the petitioner, denied the same relief to Sovereign Medical Services, P.C., and vacated the master arbitration award.

ORDERED that the clerk's judgment is reversed, on the law, with costs, the petition is denied, that branch of the cross-petition which was to confirm the master arbitration award is granted, the master arbitration award is confirmed, and the matter is remitted to the Supreme Court, Kings County, for a determination with respect to those branches of the cross-petition which were for an award of reasonable attorney's fees, other fees, and costs and disbursements.

Sovereign Medical Services, P.C. (hereinafter Sovereign), is the assignee of a claim for no-fault benefits. After the petitioner, American Transit Insurance Company (hereinafter the insurer), denied the claim, Sovereign submitted the claim to arbitration. The arbitrator awarded Sovereign the full amount of the claim. The insurer appealed, and the master arbitrator affirmed the award. The insurer then commenced this proceeding pursuant to CPLR article 75 to vacate the master arbitration award. Sovereign cross-petitioned to confirm the master arbitration award and for an award of reasonable attorney's fees, other fees, and costs and disbursements. In an order dated July 6, 2023, the Supreme Court granted the petition and denied the cross-petition. A clerk's judgment was entered April 18, 2024, granting the petition, denying the cross-petition, and vacating the master arbitration award. Sovereign appeals.

"[A]n arbitrator's rulings, unlike a trial court's, are largely unreviewable" (*Matter of Falzone* [New York Cent. Mut. Fire Ins. Co.], 15 NY3d 530, 534). "A court reviewing the award of a master arbitrator is limited to the grounds set forth in CPLR article 75, which include, in this compulsory arbitration, the question of whether the determination had evidentiary support, was rational, or had a plausible basis" (*Matter of V.S. Care Acupuncture, P.C. v Country-Wide Ins. Co.*, 176 AD3d 832, 833; *see* *Matter of Petrofsky* [Allstate Ins. Co.], 54 NY2d 207, 212). A "master arbitrator's review power is broader than that of the courts' because it includes the power to review for errors of law" (*Matter of Acuhealth Acupuncture, P.C. v Country-Wide Ins. Co.*, 176 AD3d 800, 802). By contrast, "courts 'generally will not vacate an arbitrator's award where the error claimed is the incorrect application of a rule of substantive law, unless it is so irrational as to require vacatur'" (*id.*, quoting *Matter of Smith* [Firemen's Ins. Co.], 55 NY2d 224, 232).

Here, the master arbitrator determined that the arbitrator properly applied the doctrine of collateral estoppel in concluding, based upon a prior arbitration determination, that the failure to comply with additional verification requests was not a valid basis for the insurer's denial of the claim. The master arbitrator's determination was rational, supported by evidence, and not arbitrary and capricious. Thus, the master arbitrator's determination was not subject to vacatur by the courts (*see* *Matter of Falzone* [New York Cent. Mut. Fire Ins. Co.], 15 NY3d at 535; *Matter of V.S. Care Acupuncture, P.C. v Country-Wide Ins. Co.*, 176 AD3d at 833;

Matter of Acuhealth Acupuncture, P.C. v Country-Wide Ins. Co., 176 AD3d at 802). Accordingly, the petition to vacate the master arbitration award should have been denied, that branch of the cross-petition which was to confirm the master arbitration award should have been granted, and the master arbitration award should have been confirmed.

We remit the matter to the Supreme Court, Kings County, for a determination with respect to those branches of the cross-petition which were for an award of reasonable attorney's fees, other fees, and costs and disbursements (*see* 11 NYCRR 65-4.6[h]; 11 NYCRR 65-4.10[j][4]; *Matter of American Tr. Ins. Co. v Comfort Choice Chiropractic, P.C.*, 236 AD3d 782, 783; *Matter of GEICO Ins. Co. v AAAMG Leasing Corp.*, 148 AD3d 703, 705).

BARROS, J.P.,
WOOTEN, TAYLOR and MCCORMACK, JJ., concur.

ENTER:

Darrell M. Joseph
Clerk of the Court

Court Decisions

All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search

Resources

RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index

About

About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter

Quick Contact Info

17 Lodge Street
Albany, NY 12207
Phone: (518) 453-6900

Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

PER CURIAM.

Matter of American Tr. Ins. Co. v Sovereign Med. Servs., P.C. - 2026 NY Slip Op 03160 skip to main content It appears you are using Adblock. Please disable Adblock to best experience our website. Law Reporting Bureau Thomas J.K. Smith, State Reporter Court Decisions Resources About Home All Court Decisions Decisions *Matter of American Tr. Ins. Co. v Sovereign Med. Servs., P.C.* 2026 NY Slip Op 03160 May 20, 2026 Appellate Division, Second Department Published by New York State Law Reporting Bureau pursuant to Judiciary Law § 431. This decision is uncorrected and subject to revision before publication in the Official Reports. In the *Matter of American Transit Insurance Company, respondent, v Sovereign Medical Services, P.C., etc., appellant.* Supreme Court of the State of New York, Appellate Division, Second Judicial Department Decided on May 20, 2026 2024-03979, (Index No. 514751/22) Betsy Barros, J.P. Paul Wooten Janice A. Taylor James P. McCormack, JJ. Roman Kravchenko, Garden City, NY, for appellant. Short & Billy, P.C., New York, NY (Seok Ho [Richard] Kang of counsel), for respondent. [*1] DECISION & ORDER In a proceeding pursuant to CPLR article 75 to vacate a master arbitration award dated March 25, 2022, Sovereign Medical Services, P.C., appeals from a clerk's judgment of the Supreme Court, Kings County, entered April 18, 2024. The clerk's judgment, upon an order of the same court (Robin S. Garson, J.) dated July 6, 2023, granting the petition to vacate the master arbitration award and denying the cross-petition, inter alia, to confirm the master arbitration award, granted the same relief to the petitioner, denied the same relief to Sovereign Medical Services, P.C., and vacated the master arbitration award.

ORDERED that the clerk's judgment is reversed, on the law, with costs, the petition is denied, that branch of the cross-petition which was to confirm the master arbitration award is granted, the master arbitration award is confirmed, and the matter is remitted to the Supreme Court, Kings County, for a determination with respect to those branches of the cross-petition which were for an award of reasonable attorney's fees, other fees, and costs and disbursements. Sovereign Medical Services, P.C. (hereinafter Sovereign), is the assignee of a claim for no-fault benefits. After the petitioner, American Transit Insurance Company (hereinafter the insurer), denied the claim, Sovereign submitted the claim to arbitration. The arbitrator awarded Sovereign the full amount of the claim. The insurer appealed, and the master arbitrator affirmed the award. The insurer then commenced this proceeding pursuant to CPLR article 75 to vacate the master arbitration award. Sovereign cross-petitioned to confirm the master arbitration award and for an award of reasonable attorney's fees, other fees, and costs and disbursements. In an order dated July 6, 2023, the Supreme Court granted the petition and denied the cross-petition. A clerk's judgment was entered April 18, 2024, granting the petition, denying the cross-petition, and vacating the master arbitration award. Sovereign appeals. "[A]n arbitrator's rulings, unlike a trial court's, are largely unreviewable" (*Matter of Falzone* [New York Cent. Mut. Fire Ins. Co.] , 15 NY3d 530, 534). "A court reviewing the award of a master arbitrator is limited to the grounds set forth in CPLR article 75, which include, in this compulsory arbitration, the question of whether the determination had evidentiary support, was rational, or had a plausible basis" (*Matter of V.S. Care Acupuncture, P.C. v Country-Wide Ins. Co.* , [*2] 176 AD3d 832 , 833; see *Matter of Petrofsky* [Allstate Ins. Co.] , 54 NY2d 207, 212). A "master arbitrator's review power is broader than that of the courts' because it includes the power to review for errors of law" (*Matter of Acuhealth Acupuncture, P.C. v Country-Wide Ins. Co.* , 176 AD3d 800 , 802). By contrast, "courts 'generally will not vacate an arbitrator's award where the error claimed is the incorrect application of a rule of substantive law, unless it is so irrational as to require vacatur'" (*id.* , quoting *Matter of Smith* [Firemen's Ins. Co.] , 55 NY2d 224, 232). Here, the master arbitrator determined that the arbitrator properly applied the doctrine of collateral estoppel in concluding, based upon a prior arbitration determination, that the failure to comply with additional verification requests was not a valid basis for the insurer's denial of the claim. The master arbitrator's determination was rational, supported by evidence, and not arbitrary and capricious. Thus, the master arbitrator's determination was not subject to vacatur by the courts (see *Matter of Falzone* [New York Cent. Mut. Fire Ins. Co.] , 15 NY3d at 535 ; *Matter of V.S. Care Acupuncture, P.C. v Country-Wide Ins. Co.* , 176 AD3d at 833; *Matter of Acuhealth Acupuncture, P.C. v Country-Wide Ins. Co.* , 176 AD3d at 802). Accordingly, the petition to vacate the master arbitration award should have been denied, that branch of the cross-petition which was to confirm the master arbitration award should have been granted, and the master arbitration award should have been confirmed. We remit the matter to the Supreme Court, Kings County, for a determination with respect to those branches of the cross-petition which were for an award of reasonable attorney's fees, other fees, and costs and disbursements (see 11 NYCRR 65-

4.6[h]; 11 NYCRR 65-4.10[j][4]; Matter of American Tr. Ins. Co. v Comfort Choice Chiropractic, P.C. , 236 AD3d 782 , 783; Matter of GEICO Ins. Co. v AAAMG Leasing Corp. , 148 AD3d 703, 705). BARROS, J.P., WOOTEN, TAYLOR and MCCORMACK, JJ., concur. ENTER: Darrell M. Joseph Clerk of the Court Court Decisions All Court Decisions Official Reports Service Bound Volumes Decision Search Resources RSS Feeds Style Manual Citation Tools Opinion Formatting & Privacy Guidelines Opinion Selection Criteria Legal Research Portal Site Index About About the Law Reporting Bureau About our Operations Contact Us Twitter Quick Contact Info 17 Lodge Street Albany, NY 12207 Phone: (518) 453-6900 Links to or from other sites do not signify endorsement or relationship with them.

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/state/supreme-court-of-the-state-of-new-york-appellate-division-second-judicial-department-supreme-court-o/2026/matter-of-american-tr-ins-co-v-sovereign-med-servs-p-c-nro8cmds>