

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA**

Los Angeles Waterkeeper v. SignResource, LLC

Los Angeles Waterkeeper · No. CV 24-10605 FMO (BFMx) · Decided May 30, 2025

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the Central District of California issued an order to show cause regarding dismissal for lack of prosecution in Los Angeles Waterkeeper v. SignResource, LLC. The court directed the plaintiff to respond by June 6, 2025, concerning service, an answer, or an application for default, and warned that failure to respond could result in dismissal.

OPINION

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL No. CV 24-10605 FMO (BFMx) Date May 30, 2025 Title Los Angeles Waterkeeper v. SignResource, LLC Present: The Honorable — Fernando M. Olguin, United States District Judge Vanessa Figueroa None Present Deputy Clerk Court Reporter / Recorder Attorneys Present for Plaintiffs: Attorneys Present for Defendants: None Present None Present Proceedings: (In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Re: Dismissal Re: Lack of Prosecution Absent a showing of good cause, an action must be dismissed without prejudice if the summons and complaint are not served on a defendant within 90 days after the complaint is filed.

Fed. R. Civ. P. 4(m). Generally, a defendant must answer the complaint within 21 days after service (60 days if the defendant is the United States). Fed. R. Civ. P. 12(a). The court may dismiss the action prior to the 90 days, however, if plaintiff(s) has/have not diligently prosecuted the action. With respect to service of individuals and/or business entities in a foreign country, plaintiff shall exercise all reasonable diligence and attempt service within the 90-day time period.

In the present case, it appears that one or more of these time periods has not been met. Accordingly, the court, on its own motion, orders plaintiff(s) to show cause in writing on or before June 6, 2025, why this action should not be dismissed for lack of prosecution. Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 78(b), the court finds that this matter is appropriate for submission without oral argument.

The Order to Show Cause will stand submitted upon the filing of: 7 An answer by the following defendant(s): SIGNRESOURCE, LLC 7 Plaintiff's application for entry of default pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(a): SIGNRESOURCE, LLC Oo Plaintiff's motion for default judgment pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 55(b): on or before the date indicated above. Failure to file a timely response to this Order to Show Cause shall result in the action or the above defendant(s) being dismissed for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the orders of the court.

See Local Rule 41; Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 & 41(b); Link v. Wabash R.R. Co., 370 U.S. 626, 629-30, 82 S.Ct. 1386, 1388 (1962). 00: 00 Initials of Preparer vdr

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-central-district-of-cal/2025/los-angeles-waterkeeper-v-signresource-llc-nsbzbpk0>