

INDIANA SUPREME COURT

In re Hicks

29 N.E.3d 728 (Ind. 2015) · Decided April 23, 2015

SUMMARY

The Indiana Supreme Court approves a conditional agreement for discipline arising from the respondent's guilty pleas to carrying a handgun without a license and operating a vehicle while intoxicated. The court suspends the respondent from practicing law for 180 days, followed by two years of probation with monitoring and specified conditions.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Indiana Supreme Court
JURISDICTION	Indiana
DECIDED	April 23, 2015
DISPOSITION	approved
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The Indiana Supreme Court reviewed and approved a conditional agreement for discipline submitted by the Indiana Supreme Court Disciplinary Commission and the respondent.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published disciplinary order

TOPICS

administrative law agency adjudication

PRACTICE AREAS

legal ethics attorney discipline professional misconduct

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the court should approve the parties' Statement of Circumstances and Conditional Agreement for Discipline.
2. What discipline was appropriate for Respondent's violation of Indiana Professional Conduct Rule 8.4(b).

HOLDINGS

1. Respondent's criminal conduct violated Indiana Professional Conduct Rule 8.4(b), which prohibits committing a criminal act that reflects adversely on a lawyer's trustworthiness or fitness as a lawyer.

2. The court approved the conditional agreement and suspended Respondent from the practice of law for 180 days, all actively served, followed by two years of probation with JLAP monitoring and automatic reinstatement conditioned on compliance with probation.

KEY QUOTATIONS

“For Respondent's professional misconduct, the Court suspends Respondent from the practice of law for a period of 180 days, beginning June 4, 2015, with all 180 days actively served, followed by two years of probation with JLAP monitoring.” (729)

“Respondent's suspension shall be served with automatic reinstatement, conditioned upon Respondent's compliance with the terms of his probation; however, if Respondent violates those terms and his probation is revoked, then Respondent will be suspended without automatic reinstatement.” (729)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

On August 6, 2013, Respondent pleaded guilty to carrying a handgun without a license, a Class A misdemeanor, and to his second offense of operating a vehicle while intoxicated, also a Class A misdemeanor. Respondent had previously been disciplined in 2003 for unrelated misconduct. The parties identified Respondent's cooperation, prompt reporting of his convictions, and voluntary completion of residential and outpatient treatment programs as mitigating circumstances.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Disciplinary Commission and Respondent submitted a stipulated Statement of Circumstances and Conditional Agreement for Discipline. The court accepted the agreed facts and proposed discipline, discharged the appointed hearing officer, assessed costs against Respondent, and imposed a 180-day suspension followed by two years of probation with JLAP monitoring.

DISPOSITION

approved

CASES CITED

- Matter of Hicks, 786 N.E.2d 272 (Ind. 2003)