

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Michella C. Alfaro Brittany v. Child Support Services, et al.

Alfaro Brittany · No. 2:24-cv-3396-DC-JDP (PS) · Decided May 15, 2025

OPINION

(PS) Alfaro Brittany v. Child Support Services

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 11 MICHELLA C. ALFARO BRITTANY, Case No. 2:24-cv-3396-DC-JDP (PS) 12 Plaintiff,

13 v. FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

14 CHILD SUPPORT SERVICES, et al., 15 Defendants. 16 17 On January 28, 2025, I
recommended that plaintiff's application to proceed in forma 18 pauperis be denied since it failed
to demonstrate that plaintiff had insufficient assets to pay the 19 filing fee and provide the
necessities of life. ECF No. 3. On April 21, 2025, the district judge 20 adopted the findings and
recommendations and ordered plaintiff to tender the filing fee within 21 twenty-one days.1 ECF
No. 4. The deadline to submit the filing fee has expired, and plaintiff has 22 failed to comply. 23
Accordingly, it is RECOMMENDED that the Clerk of Court be directed to close this case 24 for
plaintiff's failure to pay the filing fee. 25 These findings and recommendations are submitted to
the United States District Judge 26 assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. §
636(b)(1). Within fourteen days of 27 1 The district judge also referred the matter back to the
undersigned for all further pre-trial 28 proceedings. ECF No. 4. 1 | service of these findings and
recommendations, any party may file written objections with the 2 | court and serve a copy on all
parties. Any such document should be captioned "Objections to 3 | Magistrate Judge's Findings
and Recommendations," and any response shall be served and filed 4 | within fourteen days of
service of the objections. The parties are advised that failure to file 5 || objections within the
specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. See 6 | Turner v. Duncan,
158 F.3d 449, 455 (9th Cir. 1998); Martinez v. Yist, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 7 | 1991). 8 | IT IS SO
ORDERED. 9 / 10 | Dated: _ May 15, 2025 _ pene Wns

JEREMY D., PETERSON

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28