

SUPREME COURT OF MARYLAND

Attorney Grievance Comm'n v. Louis Bernardo Antonacci

Antonacci · No. AG No. 2 · Decided May 27, 2026

SUMMARY

The Supreme Court of Maryland immediately suspended Louis Bernardo Antonacci from practicing law in Maryland under Maryland Rule 19-737(d). The suspension was based on his prior one-year-and-two-day suspension in Virginia for violations of Virginia Rules of Professional Conduct 1.9 and 3.1, and corresponding discipline imposed by the District of Columbia Court of Appeals.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Maryland
JURISDICTION	Supreme Court of Maryland
DECIDED	May 27, 2026
DOCKET	AG No. 2
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	The Attorney Grievance Commission filed a petition for disciplinary or remedial action and requested Respondent's immediate suspension based on reciprocal discipline imposed in Virginia and the District of Columbia.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	Published order; precedential treatment not otherwise specified in the opinion text.
PARTIES	Attorney Grievance Commission v. Louis Bernardo Antonacci

TOPICS

remedies

civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

attorney discipline

professional responsibility

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

- Whether Antonacci should be immediately suspended from practicing law in Maryland under Maryland Rule 19-737(d) based on discipline imposed in another jurisdiction.

HOLDINGS

- 1. Antonacci was immediately suspended from the practice of law in Maryland pursuant to Maryland Rule 19-737(d).

KEY QUOTATIONS

“Respondent, Louis Bernardo Antonacci, is immediately suspended from the practice of law in the State of Maryland” (Order)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Louis Bernardo Antonacci was suspended from the practice of law in Virginia for one year and two days based on violations of Virginia Rules of Professional Conduct 1.9 and 3.1. The District of Columbia Court of Appeals imposed corresponding discipline. The Attorney Grievance Commission sought immediate suspension in Maryland based on that discipline.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The Commission alleged that Antonacci had been suspended from practicing law in Virginia for one year and two days for violations of Virginia Rules of Professional Conduct 1.9 and 3.1. The District of Columbia Court of Appeals imposed corresponding discipline. After considering the petition, the request for immediate suspension, and Respondent's response to an order to show cause, the Supreme Court of Maryland ordered immediate suspension under Maryland Rule 19-737(d).

DISPOSITION

other

OPINION

PER CURIAM.

* IN THE ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMISSION * SUPREME COURT * OF MARYLAND
v. * AG No. 2 LOUIS BERNARDO ANTONACCI * September Term, 2026 ORDER In its petition for disciplinary or remdial action, the Attorney Grievance Commission alleges that Respondent, Louis Bernardo Antonacci, was suspended from the practice of law in the Commonwealth of Virginia for a year and two days for violations of Virginia Rules of Professional Conduct 1.9 and 3.1.

Based upon the suspension in Virginia, the District of Columbia Court of Appeals imposed corresponding discipline on the respondent. Pursuant to Rule 19-737(d), the Commission requested that Respondent be immediately suspended from the practice of law. Upon consideration of the petition for disciplinary or remedial action and the request for immediate suspension and upon consideration of Respondent’s response to the Court’s order to show cause, it is this 27th day of May 2026, by the Supreme Court of Maryland, ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 19-737(d),

Respondent, Louis Bernardo Antonacci, is immediately suspended from the practice of law in the State of Maryland; and it is further ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall send notice of this Order in accordance with Maryland Rule 19-761. /s/ Matthew J. Fader Chief Justice Pursuant to the Maryland Uniform Electronic Legal Materials Act (§§ 10-1601 et seq. of the State Government Article) this document is authentic.

2026.05.27 '00'04- 14:39:51 2 Gregory Hilton, Clerk

PER CURIAM.

* IN THE ATTORNEY GRIEVANCE COMMISSION * SUPREME COURT * OF MARYLAND
v. * AG No. 2 LOUIS BERNARDO ANTONACCI * September Term, 2026 ORDER In its petition for disciplinary or remedial action, the Attorney Grievance Commission alleges that Respondent, Louis Bernardo Antonacci, was suspended from the practice of law in the Commonwealth of Virginia for a year and two days for violations of Virginia Rules of Professional Conduct 1.9 and 3.1.

Based upon the suspension in Virginia, the District of Columbia Court of Appeals imposed corresponding discipline on the respondent. Pursuant to Rule 19-737(d), the Commission requested that Respondent be immediately suspended from the practice of law. Upon consideration of the petition for disciplinary or remedial action and the request for immediate suspension and upon consideration of Respondent's response to the Court's order to show cause, it is this 27th day of May 2026, by the Supreme Court of Maryland, ORDERED that, pursuant to Rule 19-737(d), Respondent, Louis Bernardo Antonacci, is immediately suspended from the practice of law in the State of Maryland; and it is further ORDERED that the Clerk of this Court shall send notice of this Order in accordance with Maryland Rule 19-761. /s/ Matthew J. Fader Chief Justice Pursuant to the Maryland Uniform Electronic Legal Materials Act (§§ 10-1601 et seq. of the State Government Article) this document is authentic.

2026.05.27 '00'04- 10:32:23 Gregory Hilton, Clerk 2