

SUPREME COURT OF PENNSYLVANIA

Thomas H. Young v. Allied Signal, Inc.

Nos. 192 EAL 2014 through 210 EAL 2014 (Pa. 2014) · No. Nos. 192 EAL 2014 through 210 EAL 2014 ·

Decided December 3, 2014

SUMMARY

This document is a per curiam filing from the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania concerning consolidated petitions for allowance of appeal from Superior Court orders. The captions identify Thomas H. Young and numerous other petitioners in asbestos-related litigation against Allied Signal, Inc. and other defendants. The provided excerpt does not include the court's disposition or the date of decision.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	Supreme Court of Pennsylvania
JURISDICTION	Pennsylvania
DECIDED	December 3, 2014
DOCKET	Nos. 192 EAL 2014 through 210 EAL 2014
DISPOSITION	cert_denied
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Petitions for allowance of appeal from orders of the Superior Court of Pennsylvania.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	nonprecedential
PARTIES	Thomas H. Young, Joyce M. Stettler and Raymond J. Stettler, H/W, Frank W. Weierbach and Colleen M. Weierbach, H/W, Linda M. Sterner, Executrix of the Estate of Robert E. Sterner, Chester R. Lehmann and Jean D. Lehmann, H/W, Jamie L. Brown and Terry Brown, W/H, Richard J. Martz, Barbara E. Parks, Executrix of the Estate of Stanley A. Parks, Debra J. Rodgers, Executrix of the Estate of Joseph J. Rodgers, Jan F. Gren and Elizabeth Gren, H/W, Audrey Smith and Scott S. Smith, H/W, Robert Hornak, Randy S. Becker, Executor for the Estate of Albert C. Becker, Dale H. Huseman and Lois A. Huseman, H/W, Denise Patton, Personal Representative for the Estate of John D. Spevak, Deceased, Paul C. Cawley and Karen L. Cawley, H/W, Kathleen F. Molnar, Personal Representative for the Estate of Frank J. Molnar, and Kathleen F. Molnar in her own right, Robert R. Frable v. Allied Signal, Inc., A.W. Chesterton Company, Air

and Liquid Systems Corporation as successor by merger to Buffalo Pumps, and the other named respondent defendants

TOPICS

appellate procedure

appellate jurisdiction

civil procedure

torts

PRACTICE AREAS

appellate procedure

civil procedure

torts

KEY QUOTATIONS

“AND NOW, this 3rd day of December, 2014, the Petition for Allowance of Appeal is DENIED.”

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

The opinion is a one-line per curiam order concerning numerous asbestos-related cases brought by individual plaintiffs, surviving spouses, executors, and personal representatives against Allied Signal and numerous other corporate defendants. The text contains no substantive factual findings or discussion of the underlying asbestos claims.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

The petitioners sought review in the Supreme Court of Pennsylvania of Superior Court orders in asbestos-related tort proceedings. The Supreme Court denied all petitions for allowance of appeal.

DISPOSITION

cert_denied