

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

SanMedica International, LLC v. Ghattas

Case No. 2:25-cv-01060 (D. Utah Mar. 30, 2026) · No. 2:25-cv-01060 · Decided March 30, 2026

SUMMARY

The United States District Court for the District of Utah granted the plaintiffs’ motion to compel nonparty Izak Rock to comply with a Rule 45 subpoena seeking documents concerning alleged counterfeit sales through an eBay account. The court found the requested information relevant and proportional and ordered production by April 27, 2026, or another mutually agreed date, but denied the plaintiffs’ request for attorney’s fees.

CASE DETAILS

COURT	United States District Court for the District of Utah
WRITING FOR THE COURT	Daphne A. Oberg
JURISDICTION	United States District Court for the District of Utah
DECIDED	March 30, 2026
DOCKET	2:25-cv-01060
DISPOSITION	other
PROCEDURAL POSTURE	Plaintiffs moved under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45 to compel nonparty Izak Rock to comply with a subpoena duces tecum after he served written objections and produced no documents.
STANDARD OF REVIEW	The court reviewed the subpoena under the discovery-scope and proportionality standards of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1), considering whether the requested information was relevant and proportional and whether compliance imposed an undue burden under Rule 45.
PRECEDENTIAL VALUE	unknown

TOPICS

- discovery dispute
- trademark infringement
- copyright infringement
- commercial litigation
- civil procedure

PRACTICE AREAS

- civil procedure
- discovery
- trademark infringement
- copyright infringement
- commercial litigation

QUESTIONS PRESENTED

1. Whether the subpoena sought information within the scope of discovery under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(b)(1).
2. Whether compliance with the subpoena imposed an undue burden on the nonparty under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 45.
3. Whether plaintiffs were entitled to attorney's fees for prevailing on the motion to compel.

HOLDINGS

1. The subpoena sought relevant and proportional information because it concerned the alleged counterfeit sales through the utah-distro eBay account, which were central to plaintiffs' claims.
2. The subpoena did not impose an undue burden on Rock, and Rock was required to comply and produce responsive documents.
3. Plaintiffs were not entitled to attorney's fees on this motion to compel.

KEY QUOTATIONS

"The motion to compel is granted because the subpoena seeks relevant and proportional information and does not impose an undue burden." (Discussion)

"Mr. Rock is ordered to produce documents as requested in the subpoena by April 27, 2026, or a date mutually agreed to by Mr. Rock and the plaintiffs." (Conclusion)

FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiffs alleged that Ghattas and others sold counterfeit versions of plaintiffs' dietary supplement products through an eBay account called "utah-distro." Ghattas identified his friend Izak Rock as an individual involved in operating the account, and provided a list of twenty-two relevant transactions. Plaintiffs subpoenaed Rock for documents concerning those sales, the source of the products, and related communications during a six-month period; Rock objected and produced no documents.

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

Plaintiffs brought claims against Martin Ghattas and other defendants for allegedly selling counterfeit dietary supplements online. After Ghattas identified Izak Rock as an individual involved in operating the relevant eBay account, plaintiffs served Rock with a document subpoena. Rock objected that the requests were overbroad, irrelevant, disproportionate, and unduly burdensome, and plaintiffs moved to compel. The court granted the motion to compel, ordered production by April 27, 2026, or a mutually agreed date, and denied plaintiffs' request for attorney's fees.

DISPOSITION

other

CASES CITED

- *Erickson v. Pardus*, 551 U.S. 89, 94 (2007)
- *Consumer Fin. Prot. Bureau v. Integrity Advance, LLC*, No. 21-mc-206, 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 126523, at *18 (D. Kan. July 15, 2022)
- *Ensminger v. Credit Law Ctr., LLC*, No. 19-2147, 2020 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 12337, at *9–11 (D. Kan. Jan. 24, 2020)
- *N.L.R.B. v. Midwest Heating & Air Conditioning, Inc.*, 528 F. Supp. 2d 1172, 1181 (D. Kan. 2007)

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-district-of-utah/2026/sanmedica-international-llc-v-ghattas-orzfdqzk>