

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Smith v. Diaz

Smith · No. 20-cv-04335-HSG · Decided June 25, 2025

OPINION

Smith v. Diaz

PER CURIAM.

1 2 3

4 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

5 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

6 7 C. JAY SMITH, Case No. 20-cv-04335-HSG 8 Plaintiff, ORDER RE CASE
MANAGEMENT

CONFERENCE AND CASE

9 v. SCHEDULE

10 RALPH DIAZ, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 The parties stipulated, and the Court agreed, that
the evidentiary hearing should be vacated 14 and all pending factual issues should be decided by
jury trial in light of the Supreme Court's 15 recent opinion in *Perttu v. Richards*, No. 23-1324, 605
U.S. --, 2025 WL 1698783, at *3 (U.S.

16 June 18, 2025). See Dkt. No. 176. The Court accordingly set an in-person case management
17 conference on July 1, 2025, at 2:00 p.m. to discuss how to proceed. The parties should be 18
prepared to discuss their availability for a pretrial conference and trial. Given the age of this case
19 and its current posture, the trial needs to occur by the end of this year. 20 The Court provides
the following dates for discussion. Before the case management 21 conference, the parties must
meet and confer to determine which of the following windows will 22 work for them. The Court
expects the parties to collectively clear their calendars to enable a trial 23 in at least one of these
windows. 24 // 25 // 26 // 27 // I Pretrial Conference 2-Week Trial! Option 1 | September 23, 2025,
at 3:00 p.m. October 20, 2025, at 8:30 a.m.

2 September 30, 2025, at 3:00 p.m. _| October 27, 2025, at 8:30 a.m.

November 4, 2025, at 3:00 p.m. December 1, 2025, at 8:30 a.m. 3 4 IT IS SO ORDERED. 5
Dated: 6/25/2025 7 iatwobe S. GILLIAM, JR. ☐☐ 3 United States District Judge 9 10 11 12 © 15
16 = 17 Z 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 IP 28 ' This is the maximum trial length: the Court will
set stringent time limits that likely will result in a substantially shorter trial than this.