

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF THE VIRGIN
ISLANDS, DIVISION OF ST. CROIX**

Mario Roebuck v. Rick Mullgrav

Case No. 1:17-cv-0053 (D.V.I. Mar. 16, 2026) · No. 1:17-cv-0053 · Decided March 16, 2026

OPINION

Roebuck

PER CURIAM.

IN THE DISTRICT COURT OF THE VIRGIN ISLANDS

DIVISION OF ST. CROIX

MARIO ROEBUCK,)

) Petitioner,)) v.) Case No. 1:17-cv-0053)

RICK MULLGRAV,)

) Respondent.)

ORDER¹

BEFORE THE COURT is the Report and Recommendation (“R&R”) by Magistrate Judge George W. Cannon, Jr., filed on May 23, 2018, recommending dismissal of the petition. (ECF No. 7.) Plaintiff did not file an objection. The Court having conducted a de novo review of this matter making an independent determination finding no error in the R&R,² it is hereby ORDERED that the Magistrate Judge’s Report and Recommendation, ECF No. 7, is APPROVED and ADOPTED as an Order of this Court as if fully set forth herein; it is further ORDERED that the Petitioner filed on December 8, 2017, ECF No. 1, is DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE; it is further ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be served on Mario Roebuck at his last known address provided to the Court by certified mail return receipt and that a copy of the return receipt be filed on the docket; and it is further ORDERED that the Clerk of Court is directed to CLOSE this case. Dated: March 16, 2026 /s/ Robert A. Molloy

ROBERT A. MOLLOY

Chief Judge 1 Due to the retirement of the judge previously assigned to this case, the undersigned, exercising his authority as Chief Judge of the District Court, reassigned this case to himself on February 17, 2026. 2 See *Hill v. Barnacle*, 655 Fed. Appx. 142, 148 (3d Cir. 2016) (opining that the district court is not required to make separate findings or conclusions when reviewing a Magistrate Judge’s report and recommendation de novo under 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)) (citing *Elmendorf Grafica, Inc. v. D.S. America, Inc.*, 48 F.3d 46, 49-50 (1st Cir. 1995) (opining that “[28 U.S.C. § 636(b)] authorizes the district court to adopt in whole as well as in part the proposed findings and recommendations of the magistrate judge. Where, as here, the magistrate judge decided on an undisputed factual record, the district court was certainly not required to rehash the

magistrate judge’s reasoning. The role of the magistrate judge is ‘to relieve courts of unnecessary work.’”) (citations omitted).

Retrieved from lawtools.ai · <https://lawtools.ai/cases/federal/united-states-district-court-for-the-district-of-the-virgin/2026/mario-roebuck-v-rick-mullgrav-p3iqbfc0>