

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF
CALIFORNIA

Awasthi v. Intel Corporation

No. 24-cv-05621-TSH (N.D. Cal. Mar. 4, 2025) · No. 24-cv-05621-TSH · Decided March 4, 2025

OPINION

Awasthi v. Intel Corporation

PER CURIAM.

1 2

3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

4 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

5 6 VINAY K. AWASTHI, Case No. 24-cv-05621-TSH 7 Plaintiff,

g y ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE

9 INTEL CORPORATION,

10 Defendant. 11

12 Plaintiff Vinay Awasthi brings this complaint alleging Defendant Intel Corporation & 13 ||
violated the federal False Claims Act by making “false claims about health of its platforms[.]” 14
|| Compl. at 21, ECF No. 1. On January 24, 2025, the government filed a Notice of Election to O
15 Decline Intervention. ECF No. 14. As such, the Court ordered Plaintiff to file by February 27, 5
16 || 2025 either a notice of appearance through counsel or an amended complaint. ECF No. 15.
Plaintiff has failed to respond. 18 The Court possesses the inherent power to dismiss an action sua
sponte “to achieve the 19 orderly and expeditious disposition of cases.” Link v. Wabash R.R. Co.,
370 U.S. 626, 629-33 0 (1962). Accordingly, the Court ORDERS Plaintiff to show cause why this
case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court deadlines.
Plaintiff shall file a declaration by March 20, 2025. Notice is hereby provided that failure to file a
written response will be deemed an admission that you do not intend to prosecute, and this case
will likely be 54 dismissed. Thus, it is imperative the Court receive a written response by the
deadline above. 35 IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: March 4, 2025 26 □ 27 LaA_1}

THOMAS S. HIXSON

28 United States Magistrate Judge